

Patterns in Software Engineering

Lecturer: Raman Ramsin

Lecture 16

AntiPatterns

Part 1

Department of Computer Engineering

Sharif University of Technology



AntiPatterns

- Compiled and presented by Brown et al. in 1998.
- "An AntiPattern describes a commonly occurring solution to a problem that generates decidedly negative consequences."
- The AntiPattern may be the result of a manager or developer:
 - □ not knowing any better,
 - not having sufficient knowledge or experience in solving a particular type of problem, or
 - □ having applied a perfectly good pattern in the wrong context.



AntiPatterns: Viewpoints

- AntiPatterns are presented from three perspectives developer, architect, and manager:
 - Development AntiPatterns: comprise technical problems and solutions that are encountered by programmers.
 - Architectural AntiPatterns: identify and resolve common problems in how systems are structured.
 - Managerial AntiPatterns: address common problems in software processes and development organizations.



AntiPatterns: Development

- The Blob: Procedural-style design leads to one object with most of the responsibilities, while most other objects only hold data or simple operations.
- Lava Flow: Dead code and forgotten design information is frozen in an ever-changing design.
- Ambiguous Viewpoint: Object-oriented analysis and design models presented without clarifying the viewpoint represented by the model.
- Functional Decomposition: The output of nonobject—oriented developers who design and implement an application in an object—oriented language.
- Poltergeists: Classes with very limited roles and effective life cycles. They
 often start processes for other objects.



AntiPatterns: Development (Contd.)

- Golden Hammer: A familiar technology or concept applied obsessively to many software problems.
- Spaghetti Code: Ad hoc software structure makes it difficult to extend and optimize code.
- Walking through a Minefield: Using today's software technology is analogous to walking through a high-tech mine field: bugs abound.
- Cut—and—Paste Programming: Code reused by copying source statements leads to significant maintenance problems.
- Mushroom Management: Keeping system developers isolated from the system's end users.



AntiPatterns: Development – The Blob

- The Blob: Found in designs where one class monopolizes the processing, and other classes primarily encapsulate data.
- The key problem here is that the majority of the responsibilities are allocated to a single class which acts as a controller.

Solution: Decompose the class and redistribute the responsibilities.



AntiPatterns: Development – Lava Flow

 Lava Flow: Dead code and forgotten design information is frozen in an everchanging design.

Causes:

- □ R&D code placed into production without configuration management.
- □ Uncontrolled distribution of unfinished code.
- □ Implementation of several trial approaches for implementing a function.
- □ Single-developer (lone wolf) design or written code.
- □ Lack of configuration management or process management policies.
- □ Lack of architecture, or non-architecture-driven development.
- Repetitive development process.
- Architectural scars: Architectural mistakes not removed.
- **To solve:** include a configuration management process that eliminates dead code and evolves or refactors design toward increasing quality.
- **To avoid:** ensure that sound architecture precedes code development.

Sharif University of Technology



AntiPatterns: Development – Ambiguous Viewpoint

- Ambiguous Viewpoint: Object-oriented analysis and design (OOA&D) models that are presented without clarifying the viewpoint represented by the model.
- There are three fundamental viewpoints for OOA&D models:
 - **Business** viewpoint (Problem-Domain/Conceptual/Essential)
 - **Specification** viewpoint (System)
 - □ **Implementation** viewpoint (Software/Design)
- By default, OOA&D models denote an implementation viewpoint that is potentially the least useful. Mixed viewpoints don't allow the fundamental separation of interfaces from implementation details.
- **Solution:** Separate Viewpoints explicitly.



AntiPatterns: Development – Functional Decomposition

- Functional Decomposition: The result of experienced, nonobject—oriented developers who design and implement an application in an object—oriented language.
- When developers are comfortable with a "main" routine that calls numerous subroutines, they may tend to make every subroutine a class, ignoring class hierarchy altogether.
- **Solution:** Redesign using OO principles:
 - □ *Solution 1:* Try to identify key problem-domain classes by developing an analysis model, translate it into a design model, and refactor.
 - □ *Solution 2:* Consider database entities as design classes, and refactor.
 - Although the above techniques may work, there is no straightforward way to resolve this problem.



AntiPatterns: Development – *Poltergeists*

- Poltergeists: Classes with limited responsibilities and roles to play in the system; therefore,
 - \Box their effective life cycle is quite brief;
 - □ they clutter software designs, creating unnecessary abstractions;
 - They can be excessively complex, hard to understand, and hard to maintain.
- Solution: Remove them from the class hierarchy altogether. The functionality that was provided by it must be replaced;
 Move the controlling actions initially encapsulated in the Poltergeist into the related classes that they invoked.



AntiPatterns: Development – Golden Hammer

- Golden Hammer: A Golden Hammer is a familiar technology or concept applied obsessively to many software problems.
- "When your only tool is a hammer, everything else is a nail."

Solution:

expanding the knowledge of developers through education, training, and book study groups to expose developers to alternative technologies and approaches.



AntiPatterns: Development – Spaghetti Code

- Spaghetti Code: Ad hoc software structure makes it difficult to extend and optimize code.
 - Coding and progressive extensions have compromised the software structure to such an extent that the structure lacks clarity, even to the original developer.
 - □ If developed using an OO language, the software may include a small number of objects that contain methods with very large implementations.
 - The system is very difficult to maintain and extend, and there is no opportunity to reuse the objects and modules in other similar systems.

Solution:

□ Clean up and restructure the code using reengineering.

Sharif University of Technology



AntiPatterns: Development – Walking through a Minefield

 Walking through a Minefield: Using today's software technology is analogous to walking through a high-tech mine field: Numerous bugs are found in released software products.

Solution:

- Proper investment in software testing is required to make systems relatively bug-free. In some progressive companies, the size of testing staff exceeds programming staff.
- The most important change to make to testing procedures is configuration control of test cases.
- automation of test execution and test design.



AntiPatterns: Development – *Cut–and–Paste Programming*

- Cut-and-Paste Programming: Code reused by copying source statements.
- It comes from the notion that it's easier to modify existing software than program from scratch.

Solution:

- Eliminate duplication through refactoring and reengineering.
- □ Replace white-box reuse with black-box reuse.



AntiPatterns: Development – *Mushroom Management*

- Mushroom Management: In some architecture and management circles, there is an explicit policy to keep system developers isolated from the system's end users.
- Requirements are passed second-hand through intermediaries, including architects, managers, or requirements analysts.
- Motto: "Keep your developers in the dark and feed them fertilizer."
- Mushroom Management assumes that requirements are well understood by both end users and the software project at project inception. It is assumed that requirements are stable.

Solution:

 Risk-driven development: spiral development process based upon prototyping and user feedback.



Reference

Brown, W. J., Malveau, R. C., McCormick, H., Mowbray, T., Antipatterns: Refactoring Software, Architectures, and Projects in Crisis. Wiley, 1998.