

---

## Chapter Nine

### Frequency Domain Analysis

*Mr. Black proposed a negative feedback repeater and proved by tests that it possessed the advantages which he had predicted for it. In particular, its gain was constant to a high degree, and it was linear enough so that spurious signals caused by the interaction of the various channels could be kept within permissible limits. For best results the feedback factor  $\mu\beta$  had to be numerically much larger than unity. The possibility of stability with a feedback factor larger than unity was puzzling.*

From “The Regeneration Theory”, Harry Nyquist, 1956 [157].


In this chapter we study how stability and robustness of closed loop systems can be determined by investigating how sinusoidal signals of different frequencies propagate around the feedback loop. This technique allows us to reason about the closed loop behavior of a system through the frequency domain properties of the open loop transfer function. The Nyquist stability theorem is a key result that provides a way to analyze stability and introduce measures of degrees of stability.

#### 9.1 THE LOOP TRANSFER FUNCTION

Determining the stability of systems interconnected by feedback can be tricky because each system influences the other, leading to potentially circular reasoning. Indeed, as the quote from Nyquist above illustrates, the behavior of feedback systems can often be puzzling. However, using the mathematical framework of transfer functions provides an elegant way to reason about such systems, which we call *loop analysis*.

The basic idea of loop analysis is to trace how a sinusoidal signal propagates in the feedback loop and explore the resulting stability by investigating if the propagated signal grows or decays. This is easy to do because the transmission of sinusoidal signals through a linear dynamical system is characterized by the frequency response of the system. The key result is the Nyquist stability theorem, which provides a great deal of insight regarding the stability of a system. Unlike proving stability with Lyapunov functions, studied in Chapter 4, the Nyquist criterion allows us to determine more than just whether a system is stable or unstable. It provides a measure of the degree of stability through the definition of stability margins. The Nyquist theorem also indicates how an unstable system should be changed to make it stable, which we shall study in detail in Chapters 10–12.

Consider the system in Figure 9.1a. The traditional way to determine if the closed loop system is stable is to investigate if the closed loop characteristic polynomial has all its roots in the left half plane. If the process and the controller have



**Figure 9.1:** The loop transfer function. The stability of the feedback system (a) can be determined by tracing signals around the loop. Letting  $L = PC$  represent the loop transfer function, we break the loop in (b) and ask whether a signal injected at the point A has the same magnitude and phase when it reaches B.

rational transfer functions  $P(s) = n_p(s)/d_p(s)$  and  $C(s) = n_c(s)/d_c(s)$ , then the closed loop system has the transfer function

$$G_{yr}(s) = \frac{PC}{1 + PC} = \frac{n_p(s)n_c(s)}{d_p(s)d_c(s) + n_p(s)n_c(s)},$$

and the characteristic polynomial is

$$\lambda(s) = d_p(s)d_c(s) + n_p(s)n_c(s).$$

To check stability, we simply compute the roots of the characteristic polynomial and verify that they each have negative real part. This approach is straightforward but it gives little guidance for design: it is not easy to tell how the controller should be modified to make an unstable system stable.

Nyquist's idea was to investigate conditions under which oscillations can occur in a feedback loop. To study this, we introduce the *loop transfer function*,  $L(s) = P(s)C(s)$  which is the transfer function obtained by breaking the feedback loop, as shown in Figure 9.1b. The loop transfer function is simply the transfer function from the input at position A to the output at position B.


We will first determine conditions for having a periodic oscillation in the loop. Assume that a sinusoid of frequency  $\omega_0$  is injected at point A. In steady state the signal at point B will also be a sinusoid with the frequency  $\omega_0$ . It seems reasonable that an oscillation can be maintained if the signal at B has the same amplitude and phase as the injected signal, because we could then connect A to B. Tracing signals around the loop we find that the signals at A and B are identical if

$$L(i\omega_0) = -1, \quad (9.1)$$

which provides a condition for maintaining an oscillation. The key idea of the Nyquist stability criterion is to understand when this can happen in a general setting. As we shall see, this basic argument becomes more subtle when the loop transfer function has poles in the right half plane.

### Example 9.1 Loop transfer function for operational amplifier

Consider the op amp circuit in Figure 9.2a where  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are the transfer functions from voltage to current of the feedback elements. There is feedback because



**Figure 9.2:** Loop transfer function for an op amp. The op amp circuit on the left has a nominal transfer function  $v_2/v_1 = Z_2(s)/Z_1(s)$ , where  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are the impedances of the circuit elements. The system can be represented by its block diagram on the right, where we now include the op amp dynamics  $G(s)$ . The loop transfer function is  $L = Z_1G/(Z_1 + Z_2)$ .

the voltage  $v_2$  is related to the voltage  $v$  through the transfer function  $-G$  describing the op amp dynamics and the voltage  $v$  is related to the voltage  $v_2$  through the transfer function  $Z_1/(Z_1 + Z_2)$ . The loop transfer function is thus

$$L = \frac{GZ_1}{Z_1 + Z_2}. \quad (9.2)$$

Assuming that the current  $I$  is zero, the current through the elements  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  is the same which implies

$$\frac{v_1 - v}{Z_1} = \frac{v - v_2}{Z_2}$$

Solving for  $v$  gives

$$v = \frac{Z_2v_1 + Z_1v_2}{Z_1 + Z_2} = \frac{Z_2v_1 - Z_1Gv}{Z_1 + Z_2} = \frac{Z_2}{Z_1}Lv_1 - Lv.$$

Since  $v_2 = -Gv$  the input-output relation for the circuit becomes


$$G_{v_2v_1} = -\frac{Z_2}{Z_1} \frac{L}{1 + L}.$$

A block diagram is shown in Figure 9.2b. It follows from (9.1) that the condition for oscillation of the op amp circuit is

$$L(i\omega) = \frac{Z_1(i\omega)G(i\omega)}{Z_1(i\omega) + Z_2(i\omega)} = -1 \quad (9.3)$$

▽

One of the powerful concepts embedded in Nyquist's approach to stability analysis is that it allows us to study the stability of the feedback system by looking at properties of the loop transfer function. The advantage of doing this is that it is easy to see how the controller should be chosen to obtain a desired the loop transfer function. For example if we change the gain of the controller the loop transfer function will be scaled accordingly. A simple way to stabilize an unstable system is then to reduce the gain so that the  $-1$  point is avoided. Another way is to introduce a controller with the property that it bends the loop transfer function away from the critical point, as we shall see in the next section. Different ways to do this, called loopshaping, will be developed as will be discussed in Chapter 11.



**Figure 9.3:** The Nyquist contour  $\Gamma$  and the Nyquist plot. The Nyquist contour (a) encloses the right half plane, with a small semicircles around any poles of  $L(s)$  on the imaginary axis (illustrated here at the origin) and an arc at infinity, represented by  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . The Nyquist plot (b) is the image of the loop transfer function  $L(s)$  when  $s$  traverses  $\Gamma$  in the counter-clockwise direction. The solid line corresponds to  $\omega > 0$  and the dashed line to  $\omega < 0$ . The gain and phase at the frequency  $\omega$  are  $g = |L(i\omega)|$  and  $\varphi = \angle L(i\omega)$ . The curve is generated for  $L(s) = 1.4e^{-s}/(s+1)^2$ .

## 9.2 THE NYQUIST CRITERION

In this section we present Nyquist's criterion for determining the stability of a feedback system through analysis of the loop transfer function. We begin by introducing a convenient graphical tool, the Nyquist plot, and show how it can be used to ascertain stability.

### The Nyquist Plot

We saw in the last chapter that the dynamics of a linear system can be represented by its frequency response and graphically illustrated by the Bode plot. To study the stability of a system, we will make use of a different representation of the frequency response called a *Nyquist plot*. The Nyquist plot of the loop transfer function  $L(s)$  is formed by tracing  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  around the Nyquist "D contour", consisting of the imaginary axis combined with an arc at infinity connecting the endpoints of the imaginary axis. The contour, denoted as  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , is illustrated in Figure 9.3a. The image of  $L(s)$  when  $s$  traverses  $\Gamma$  gives a closed curve in the complex plane and is referred to as the Nyquist plot for  $L(s)$ , as shown in Figure 9.3b. Note that if the transfer function  $L(s)$  goes to zero as  $s$  gets large (the usual case), then the portion of the contour "at infinity" maps to the origin. Furthermore, the portion of the plot corresponding to  $\omega < 0$  is the mirror image of the portion with  $\omega > 0$ .

There is a subtlety with the Nyquist plot when the loop transfer function has

poles on the imaginary axis because the gain is infinite at the poles. To solve this problem, we modify the contour  $\Gamma$  to include small deviations that avoid any poles on the imaginary axis, as illustrated in Figure 9.3a (assuming a pole of  $L(s)$  at the origin). The deviation consists of a small semicircle to the right of the imaginary axis pole location.

The condition for oscillation given in equation (9.1) implies that the Nyquist plot of the loop transfer function goes through the point  $L = -1$ , which is called the *critical point*. Let  $\omega_c$  represent a frequency at which  $\angle L(i\omega_c) = 180^\circ$ , corresponding to the Nyquist curve crossing the negative real axis. Intuitively it seems reasonable that the system is stable if  $|L(i\omega_c)| < 1$ , which means that the critical point  $-1$  is on the left hand side of the Nyquist curve, as indicated in Figure 9.3b. This means that the signal at point B will have smaller amplitude than the injected signal. This is essentially true, but there are several subtleties that require a proper mathematical analysis to clear up. We defer the details for now and state the Nyquist condition for the special case where  $L(s)$  is a stable transfer function.

**Theorem 9.1** (Simplified Nyquist criterion). *Let  $L(s)$  be the loop transfer function for a negative feedback system (as shown in Figure 9.1a) and assume that  $L$  has no poles in the closed right half plane ( $\text{Re } s \geq 0$ ), except for single poles on the imaginary axis. Then the closed loop system is stable if and only if the closed contour given by  $\Omega = \{L(i\omega) : -\infty < \omega < \infty\} \subset \mathbb{C}$  has no net encirclements of  $s = -1$ .*

The following conceptual procedure can be used to determine that there are no encirclements: Fix a pin at the critical point  $s = -1$ , orthogonal to the plane. Attach a string with one end at the critical point and the other on the Nyquist plot. Let the end of the string attached to the Nyquist curve traverse the whole curve. There are no encirclements if the string does not wind up on the pin when the curve is encircled. The number of encirclements is called the winding number. (In the theory of complex functions it is the customary to encircle the Nyquist contour in the counter-clockwise direction, which means that the imaginary axis is traversed in the direction from  $\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .)

### Example 9.2 Third order system


Consider a third order transfer function

$$L(s) = \frac{1}{(s+a)^3}.$$

To compute the Nyquist plot we start by evaluating points on the imaginary axis  $s = i\omega$ , which yields

$$L(i\omega) = \frac{1}{(i\omega+a)^3} = \frac{(a-i\omega)^3}{(a^2+\omega^2)^3} = \frac{a^3-3a\omega^2}{(a^2+\omega^2)^3} + i \frac{\omega^3-3a^2\omega}{(a^2+\omega^2)^3}.$$

This is plotted in the complex plane in Figure 9.4, with the points corresponding to  $\omega > 0$  drawn as solid line and  $\omega < 0$  as a dashed line. Notice that these curves are mirror images of each other.



**Figure 9.4:** Nyquist plot for a third order transfer function. The Nyquist plot consists of a trace of the loop transfer function  $L(s) = 1/(s+a)^3$ . The solid line represents the portion of the transfer function along the positive imaginary axis and the dashed line the negative imaginary axis. The outer arc of the D contour maps to the origin.

To complete the Nyquist plot, we compute  $L(s)$  for  $s$  on the outer arc of the Nyquist D contour. This arc has the form  $s = Re^{i\theta}$  for  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . This gives

$$L(Re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{(Re^{i\theta} + a)^3} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus the outer arc of the D contour maps to the origin on the Nyquist plot.  $\nabla$

An alternative to computing the Nyquist plot explicitly is to determine the plot from the frequency response (Bode plot), which gives the Nyquist curve for  $s = i\omega$ ,  $\omega > 0$ . We start by plotting  $G(i\omega)$  from  $\omega = 0$  to  $\omega = \infty$ , which can be read off from the magnitude and phase of the transfer function. We then plot  $G(Re^{i\theta})$  with  $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$  and  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , which almost always maps to zero. The remaining parts of the plot can be determined by taking the mirror image of the curve thus far (normally plotted using a dashed line style). The plot can then be labeled with arrows corresponding to a counter-clockwise traversal around the D contour (opposite the direction that the first portion of the curve was plotted).


### Example 9.3 Third order system with a pole at the origin

Consider the transfer function

$$L(s) = \frac{k}{s(s+1)^2},$$

where the gain has the nominal value  $k = 1$ . The Bode plot is shown in Figure 9.5a. The system has a single pole at  $s = 1$  and a double pole at  $s = -1$ . The gain curve of the Bode plot thus has the slope  $-1$  for low frequencies and at the double pole  $s = 1$  the slope changes to  $-3$ . For small  $s$  we have  $L \approx k/s$  which means that the low frequency asymptote intersects the unit gain line at  $\omega = k$ . The phase curve starts at  $-90^\circ$  for low frequencies, it is  $-180^\circ$  at the break point  $\omega = 1$ , and it is  $-270^\circ$  at high frequencies.

Having obtained the Bode plot we can now sketch the Nyquist plot, shown in Figure 9.5b. It starts with a phase of  $-90^\circ$  for low frequencies, intersects the



**Figure 9.5:** Sketching Nyquist and Bode plots. The loop transfer function is  $L(s) = 1/(s(s+1)^2)$ . The large semi circle is the map of the small semi circle of the  $\Gamma$  contour around the pole at the origin. The closed loop is stable because the Nyquist curve does not encircle the critical point. The point where the phase is  $-180^\circ$  is marked with a circle.

negative real axis at the breakpoint  $\omega = 1$  where  $L(i) = 0.5$  and goes to zero along the imaginary axis for high frequencies. The small half circle of the  $\Gamma$  contour at the origin is mapped on a large circle enclosing the right half plane. The Nyquist curve does not encircle the critical point and it follows from the simplified Nyquist theorem that the closed loop is stable. Since  $L(i) = -k/2$  we find the system becomes unstable if the gain is increased to  $k = 2$  or beyond.  $\nabla$

The Nyquist criterion does not require that  $|L(i\omega_c)| < 1$  for all  $\omega_c$  corresponding to a crossing of the negative real axis. Rather, it says that the number of encirclements must be zero, allowing for the possibility that the Nyquist curve could cross the negative real axis and cross back at magnitudes greater than 1. The fact that it was possible to have high feedback gains surprised the early practitioners of feedback amplifiers, as mentioned in the quote in the beginning of this chapter.

One advantage of the Nyquist criterion is that it tells us how a system is influenced by changes of the controller parameters. For example, it is very easy to visualize what happens when the gain is changed since this just scales the Nyquist curve.


#### Example 9.4 Congestion control

Consider the Internet congestion control system described in Section 3.4. Suppose we have  $N$  identical sources and a disturbance  $d$  representing an external data source, as shown in Figure 9.6a. We also include a time delay between the router and the senders, representing the time delays between the sender and receiver.

To analyze the stability of the system, we use the transfer functions computed in Exercise 8.17:

$$G_{bw}(s) = \frac{Nw_e e^{-\tau^f s}}{\tau_e s + e^{-\tau^f s}}, \quad G_{wq}(s) = -\frac{N}{q_e(\tau_e s + q_e w_e)}, \quad G_{pb}(s) = \rho,$$

where  $(w_e, b_e)$  is the equilibrium point for the system,  $N$  is the number of sources,  $\tau_e$  is the steady state round trip time and  $\tau^f$  is the forward propagation time.



**Figure 9.6:** Internet congestion control. A set of  $N$  sources using TCP/Reno send messages through a single router with admission control. Link delays are included for the forward and backward directions. The Nyquist plot for the loop transfer function is shown to the right.

The loop transfer function is given by

$$L(s) = \rho \cdot \frac{N}{\tau_e s + e^{-\tau^f s}} \cdot \frac{1}{q_e(\tau_e s + q_e w_e)} e^{-\tau_e s}$$

Using the fact that  $q_e \approx 2N/w_e^2 = 2N^3/(\tau_e c)^2$  and  $w_e = b_e/N = \tau_e c/N$ , we can show that

$$L(s) = \rho \cdot \frac{N}{\tau_e s + e^{-\tau^f s}} \cdot \frac{c^3 \tau_e^3}{2N^3(c\tau_e^2 s + 2N^2)} e^{-\tau_e s}$$

Note that we have chosen the sign of  $L(s)$  to use the same sign convention as Figure 9.1b. The exponential term representing the time delay gives significant phase above  $\omega = 1/\tau$  and the gain at the crossover frequency will determine stability.

To check stability, we require that the gain be sufficiently small at crossover. If we assume that the pole due to the queue dynamics is sufficiently fast that the TCP dynamics are dominant, the gain at the crossover frequency  $\omega_c$  is given by


$$|L(i\omega_c)| = \rho \cdot N \cdot \frac{c^3 \tau_e^3}{2N^3 c \tau_e^2 \omega_c} = \frac{\rho c^2 \tau_e}{2N \omega_c}$$

Using the Nyquist criterion, the closed loop system will be unstable if this quantity is greater than 1. In particular, for a fixed time delay, the system will become unstable as the link capacity  $c$  is increased. This indicates that the TCP protocol may not be scalable to high capacity networks, as pointed out by Low *et al.* [134]. Exercise 9.15 provides some ideas of how this might be overcome.  $\nabla$

### Conditional Stability

Normally, we find that unstable systems can be stabilized simply by reducing the loop gain. There are however situations where a system can be stabilized by increasing the gain. This was first encountered by electrical engineers in the design





**Figure 9.7:** Nyquist curve for the loop transfer function  $L(s) = \frac{3(s+1)^2}{s(s+6)^2}$ . The plot on the right is an enlargement of the area around the origin of the plot on the left. The Nyquist curve intersects the negative real axis twice but has no net encirclements of  $-1$ .

of feedback amplifiers, who coined the term *conditional stability*. The problem was actually a strong motivation for Nyquist to develop his theory. We will illustrate by an example.

#### Example 9.5 Conditional stability

Consider a feedback system with the loop transfer function


$$L(s) = \frac{3(s+1)^2}{s(s+6)^2}. \quad (9.4)$$

The Nyquist plot of the loop transfer function is shown in Figure 9.7. Notice that the Nyquist curve intersects the negative real axis twice. The first intersection occurs at  $L = -12$  for  $\omega = 2$  and the second at  $L = -4.5$  for  $\omega = 3$ . The intuitive argument based on signal tracing around the loop in Figure 9.1b is strongly misleading in this case. Injection of a sinusoid with frequency 2 rad/s and amplitude 1 at A gives, in steady state, an oscillation at B that is in phase with the input and has amplitude 12. Intuitively it seems unlikely that closing of the loop will result a stable system. However, it follows from Nyquist's stability criterion that the system is stable because there are no net encirclements of the critical point.  $\nabla$

### General Nyquist Criterion

Theorem 9.1 requires that  $L(s)$  has no poles in the closed right half plane. In some situations this is not the case and a more general result is required. Nyquist originally considered this general case, which we summarize in the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.2** (Nyquist's stability theorem). *Consider a closed loop system with the loop transfer function  $L(s)$ , that has  $P$  poles in the region enclosed by the Nyquist contour. Let  $w_n$  be the net number of counter-clockwise encirclements of  $-1$  by  $L(s)$  when  $s$  encircles the Nyquist contour  $\Gamma$  in the counter-clockwise direction. The closed loop system then has  $w_n + P$  poles in the right half plane.*



**Figure 9.8:** PD control of an inverted pendulum. A proportional-derivative controller with transfer function  $C(s) = k(s+2)$  is used to command  $u$  based on  $\theta$ . A Nyquist plot of the loop transfer function for gain  $k = 2$  is shown in on the right. There is one clockwise encirclement of the critical point, giving a winding number  $w_n = -1$ .

The full Nyquist criterion states that if  $L(s)$  has  $P$  poles in the right half plane, then the Nyquist curve for  $L(s)$  should have  $P$  clockwise encirclements of  $-1$  (so that  $w_n = -P$ ). In particular, this *requires* that  $|L(i\omega_c)| > 1$  for some  $\omega_c$  corresponding to a crossing of the negative real axis. Care has to be taken to get the right sign of the winding number. The Nyquist contour has to be traversed counter-clockwise, which means that  $\omega$  moves from  $\infty$  to  $-\infty$  and  $w_n$  is positive if the Nyquist curve winds counter-clockwise.

As in the case of the simplified Nyquist criterion, we use small semicircles of radius  $r$  to avoid any poles on the imaginary axis. By letting  $r \rightarrow 0$ , we can use Theorem 9.2 to reason about stability. Note that the image of the small semicircles generates a section of the Nyquist curve whose magnitude approaches infinity, requiring care in computing the winding number. When plotting Nyquist curves on the computer, one must be careful to see that such poles are properly handled and often one must sketch those portions of the Nyquist plot by hand, being careful to loop the right way around the poles.

### Example 9.6 Stabilization of an inverted pendulum

The linearized dynamics of a normalized inverted pendulum can be represented by the transfer function  $P(s) = 1/(s^2 - 1)$ , where the input is acceleration of the pivot and the output is the pendulum angle  $\theta$ , as shown in Figure 9.8 (Exercise 8.4). We attempt to stabilize the pendulum with a proportional-derivative (PD) controller having the transfer function  $C(s) = k(s+2)$ . The loop transfer function is

$$L(s) = \frac{k(s+2)}{s^2-1}.$$

The Nyquist plot of the loop transfer function is shown in Figure 9.8b. We have  $L(0) = -k$  and  $L(\infty) = 0$ , the Nyquist curve is actually an ellipse. If  $k > 1$  the Nyquist curve encircles the critical point  $s = -1$  in the clockwise direction when the Nyquist contour  $\gamma$  is encircled in the counter-clockwise direction. The winding

number is thus  $w_n = -1$ . Since the loop transfer function has one pole in the right half plane ( $P = 1$ ) we find that  $N = P + w_n = 0$  and the system is thus stable for  $k > 1$ . If  $k < 1$  there is no encirclement and the closed loop will have one pole in the right half plane.  $\nabla$

### Derivation of Nyquist's Stability Theorem



We will now prove the Nyquist stability theorem for a general loop transfer function  $L(s)$ . This requires some results from the theory of complex variables, for which the reader can consult [6]. Since some precision is needed in stating Nyquist's criterion properly, we will also use a more mathematical style of presentation. The key result is the following theorem about functions of complex variables.

**Theorem 9.3** (Principle of variation of the argument). *Let  $D$  be a closed region in the complex plane and let  $\Gamma$  be the boundary of the region. Assume the function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is analytic in  $D$  and on  $\Gamma$ , except at a finite number of poles and zeros. Then the winding number,  $w_n$ , is given by*

$$w_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\Gamma} \arg f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = N - P,$$

where  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$  is the net variation in the angle along the contour  $\Gamma$ ,  $N$  is the number of zeros and  $P$  the number of poles in  $D$ . Poles and zeros of multiplicity  $m$  are counted  $m$  times.

*Proof.* Assume that  $z = a$  is a zero of multiplicity  $m$ . In the neighborhood of  $z = a$  we have

$$f(z) = (z - a)^m g(z),$$

where the function  $g$  is analytic and different from zero. The ratio of the derivative of  $f$  to itself is then given by

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{m}{z - a} + \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}$$

and the second term is analytic at  $z = a$ . The function  $f'/f$  thus has a single pole at  $z = a$  with the residue  $m$ . The sum of the residues at the zeros of the function is  $N$ . Similarly we find that the sum of the residues of the poles of is  $-P$  and hence

$$N - P = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{d}{dz} \log f(z) dz = \Delta_{\Gamma} \log f(z),$$

where  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$  again denotes the variation along the contour  $\Gamma$ . We have

$$\log f(z) = \log |f(z)| + i \arg f(z)$$

and since the variation of  $|f(z)|$  around a closed contour is zero it follows that

$$\Delta_{\Gamma} \log f(z) = i \Delta_{\Gamma} \arg f(z)$$

and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

This theorem is useful for determining the number of poles and zeros of a function of complex variables in a given region. By choosing an appropriate closed region  $D$  with boundary  $\Gamma$ , we can determine the difference between the number of poles and zeros through computation of the winding number.

Theorem 9.3 can be used to prove Nyquist's stability theorem by choosing  $\Gamma$  as the Nyquist contour shown in Figure 9.3a, which encloses the right half plane. To construct the contour, we start with part of the imaginary axis  $-jR \leq s \leq jR$ , and a semicircle to the right with radius  $R$ . If the function  $f$  has poles on the imaginary axis we introduce small semicircles with radii  $r$  to the right of the poles as shown in the figure. The Nyquist contour is obtained by letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r \rightarrow 0$ .

To see how we use this to compute stability, consider a closed loop system with the loop transfer function  $L(s)$ . The closed loop poles of the system are the zeros of the function  $f(s) = 1 + L(s)$ . To find the number of zeros in the right half plane, we investigate the winding number of the function  $f(s) = 1 + L(s)$  as  $s$  moves along the Nyquist contour  $\Gamma$  in the counter-clockwise direction. The winding number can conveniently be determined from the Nyquist plot. A direct application of the Theorem 9.3 gives the Nyquist criterion. Since the image of  $1 + L(s)$  is a shifted version of  $L(s)$ , we usually state the Nyquist criterion as net encirclements of the  $-1$  point by the image of  $L(s)$ .

### 9.3 STABILITY MARGINS

In practice it is not enough that a system is stable. There must also be some margins of stability that describe how stable the system is and its robustness to perturbations. There are many ways to express this, but one of the most common is the use of gain and phase margins, inspired by Nyquist's stability criterion. The key idea is that it is easy to plot the loop transfer function  $L(s)$ . An increase of controller gain simply expands the Nyquist plot radially. An increase of the phase of the controller twists the Nyquist plot clockwise. Hence from the Nyquist plot we can easily pick off the amount of gain or phase that can be added without causing the system to go unstable.

Let  $\omega_{pc}$  be the *phase crossover frequency*, the smallest frequency where the phase of the loop transfer function  $L(s)$  is  $-180^\circ$ . The *gain margin* is defined as


$$g_m = \frac{1}{|L(i\omega_{pc})|}. \quad (9.5)$$

It tells us how much the controller gain can be increased before reaching the stability limit.

Similarly, let  $\omega_{gc}$  be the *gain crossover frequency*, the lowest frequency where the loop transfer function  $L(s)$  has unit magnitude. The *phase margin* is

$$\phi_m = \pi + \arg L(i\omega_{gc}), \quad (9.6)$$

the amount of phase lag required to reach the stability limit. These margins have simple geometric interpretations in the Nyquist diagram of the loop transfer func-



**Figure 9.9:** Stability margins. The gain margin  $g_m$  and phase margins  $\varphi_m$  are shown on the Nyquist plot (left) and the Bode plot (right). The Nyquist plot also shows the stability margin  $s_m$ , which is the shortest distance to the critical point.

tion, as shown in Figure 9.9a.

A drawback with gain and phase margins is that it is necessary to give both of them in order to guarantee that the Nyquist curve is not close to the critical point. An alternative way to express margins is by a single number, the *stability margin*,  $s_m$ , which is the shortest distance from the Nyquist curve to the critical point. This number is related to disturbance attenuation as will be discussed in Section 11.3.

Gain and phase margins can be determined from the Bode plot of the loop transfer function. To find the gain margin we first find the phase crossover frequency  $\omega_{pc}$  where the phase is  $-180^\circ$ . The gain margin is the inverse of the gain at that frequency. To determine the phase margin we first determine the gain crossover frequency  $\omega_{gc}$ , i.e. the frequency where the gain of the loop transfer function is 1. The phase margin is the phase of the loop transfer function at that frequency plus  $180^\circ$ . Figure 9.9b illustrates how the margins are found in the Bode plot of the loop transfer function. The margins can be computed analytically for simple systems of low order but it is straightforward to compute them numerically.


#### Example 9.7 Third order transfer function

Consider a loop transfer function  $L(s) = 3/(s+1)^3$ . The Nyquist and Bode plots are shown in Figure 9.10. To compute the gain, phase and stability margins, we can use the Nyquist plot as described in Figure 9.9a. This yields the following values:

$$g_m = 2.67, \quad \varphi_m = 41.7^\circ, \quad s_m = 0.464.$$

The gain and phase margin can also be determined from the Bode plot shown in Figure 9.9b. ▽

The gain and phase margins are classical robustness measures that have been used for a long time in control system design. The gain margin is well defined if the Nyquist curve intersects the negative real axis once. Analogously the phase margin is well defined if the Nyquist curve intersects the unit circle only at one



**Figure 9.10:** Stability margins for a third order transfer function. The Nyquist plot on the left allows the gain, phase and stability margins to be determined by measuring the distances of relevant features. The gain and phase margins can also be read off of the Bode plot on the right.

point. Other more general robustness measures will be introduced in Chapter 12.

Even if both gain and phase margins are reasonable the system may still not be robust as is illustrated by the following example.


**Example 9.8 Good gain and phase margins but poor stability margins**

Consider a system with the loop transfer function


$$L(s) = \frac{0.38(s^2 + 0.1s + 0.55)}{s(s + 1)(s^2 + 0.06s + 0.5)}$$

A numerical calculation gives the gain margin is  $g_m = 266$ , the phase margin is  $70^\circ$ . These values indicate that the system is robust but the Nyquist curve is still close to the critical point, as shown in Figure 9.11. The stability margin is  $s_m = 0.27$ , which is very low. The closed loop system has two resonant modes, one with relative damping  $\zeta = 0.81$  and the other with  $\zeta = 0.014$ . The step response of the system is highly oscillatory, as shown in Figure 9.11c. ▽

The stability margin cannot easily be found from the Bode plot of the loop



**Figure 9.11:** System with good gain and phase margin, but poor stability margin. Nyquist (a) and Bode (b) plots of the loop transfer function and step response (c) for a system with good gain and phase margins but with poor stability margin.



**Figure 9.12:** Nyquist and Bode plots of the loop transfer function for the AFM system (9.7) with an integral controller. The frequency in the Bode plot is normalized by  $a$ . The parameters are  $\zeta = 0.01$  and  $k_i = 0.008$ .

transfer function. There are however other Bode plots that will give  $s_m$ ; these will be discussed in Chapter 12. In general, it is best to use the Nyquist plot to check stability, since this provides more complete information than the Bode plot.

When we are designing feedback systems, it will often be useful to define the robustness of the system using gain, phase and stability margins. These numbers tell us how much the system can vary from our nominal model and still be stable. Reasonable values of the margins are phase margin  $\phi_m = 30^\circ - 60^\circ$ , gain margin  $g_m = 2 - 5$ , and stability margin  $s_m = 0.5 - 0.8$ .

There are also other stability measures, such as the *delay margin*, which is the smallest time delay required to make the system unstable. For loop transfer functions that decay quickly, the delay margin is closely related to the phase margin, but for systems where the amplitude ratio of the loop transfer function has several peaks at high frequencies, the delay margin is a more relevant measure.

#### Example 9.9 AFM nanopositioning system

Consider the system for horizontal positioning of the sample in an atomic force microscope. The system has oscillatory dynamics and a simple model is a spring-mass system with low damping. The normalized transfer function is given by

$$P(s) = \frac{a^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta as + a^2} \quad (9.7)$$

where the relative damping typically is a very small number, e.g.  $\zeta = 0.1$ .

We will start with a controller that only has integral action. The resulting loop transfer function is

$$L(s) = \frac{k_i a^2}{s(s^2 + 2\zeta as + a^2)},$$

where  $k_i$  is the gain of the controller. Nyquist and Bode plots of the loop transfer function are shown in Figure 9.12. Notice that the part of the Nyquist curve that is close to the critical point  $-1$  is approximately circular.

From the Bode plot in Figure 9.12b, we see that the phase crossover frequency is  $\omega_{pc} = a$ , which will be independent of the gain  $k_i$ . Evaluating the loop transfer function at this frequency, we have  $L(ia) = -k_i/(2\zeta a)$ , which means that the gain margin is  $g_m = 1 - k_i/(2\zeta a)$ . To have a desired gain margin of  $g_m$  the integral gain should be chosen as

$$k_i = 2a\zeta(1 - g_m).$$

Figure 9.12 shows Nyquist and Bode plots for the system with gain margin  $g_m = 0.60$  and stability margin  $s_m = 0.597$ . The gain curve in the Bode plot is almost a straight line for low frequencies and a resonance peak at  $\omega = a$ . The gain crossover frequency is approximately equal to  $k_i$ . The phase decreases monotonically from  $-90^\circ$  to  $-270^\circ$ : it is equal to  $-180^\circ$  at  $\omega = a$ . The curve can be shifted vertically by changing  $k_i$ : increasing  $k_i$  shifts the gain curve upwards and increases the gain crossover frequency. Since the phase is  $-180^\circ$  at the resonance peak, it is necessary that the peak does not touch the line  $|L(i\omega)| = 1$ .  $\nabla$

#### 9.4 BODE'S RELATIONS AND MINIMUM PHASE SYSTEMS

An analysis of Bode plots reveals that there appears to be a relation between the gain curve and the phase curve. Consider for example the Bode plots for the differentiator and the integrator (shown in Figure 8.12 on page 258). For the differentiator the slope is  $+1$  and the phase is constant  $\pi/2$  radians. For the integrator the slope is  $-1$  and the phase is  $-\pi/2$ . For the first order system  $G(s) = s + a$ , the amplitude curve has the slope 0 for small frequencies and the slope  $+1$  for high frequencies and the phase is 0 for low frequencies and  $\pi/2$  for high frequencies.

Bode investigated the relations between the curves for systems with no poles and zeros in the right half plane. He found that the phase was uniquely given by the shape of the gain curve and vice versa:

$$\arg G(i\omega_0) = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty f(\omega) \frac{d \log |G(i\omega)|}{d \log \omega} d \log \omega \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{d \log |G(i\omega)|}{d \log \omega}, \quad (9.8)$$

where  $f$  is the weighting kernel


$$f(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log \left| \frac{\omega + \omega_0}{\omega - \omega_0} \right|.$$

The phase curve is thus a weighted average of the derivative of the gain curve. If the gain curve has constant slope  $n$  the phase curve has the constant value  $n\pi/2$ .

Bode's relations (9.8) hold for systems that do not have poles and zeros in the right half plane. Such systems are called *minimum phase systems* because systems with poles and zeros in the right half plane have larger phase lag. The distinction is important in practice because minimum phase systems are easier to control than systems with larger phase lag. We will now give a few examples of non-minimum phase transfer functions.

The transfer function of a time delay of  $T_d$  units is  $G(s) = e^{-sT_d}$ . This transfer function has unit gain,  $|G(i\omega)| = 1$ , and the phase is  $\arg G(i\omega) = -\omega T_d$ . The corre-





**Figure 9.13:** Bode plots of systems that are not minimum phase. (a) Time delay  $G(s) = e^{-sT}$ , (b) system with a right half plane zero  $G(s) = (a - s)/(a + s)$  and (c) system with right half plane pole. The corresponding minimum phase systems has the transfer function  $G(s) = 1$  in all cases, the phase curves for that system are shown dashed.

sponding minimum phase system with unit gain has the transfer function  $G(s) = 1$ . The time delay thus has an additional phase lag of  $\omega T_d$ . Notice that the phase lag increases linearly with frequency. Figure 9.13a shows the Bode plot of the transfer function. (Because we use a log scale for frequency, the phase falls off much faster than linearly in the plot.)

Consider a system with the transfer function  $G(s) = (a - s)/(a + s)$  with  $a > 0$ , which has a zero  $s = a$  in the right half plane. The transfer function has unit gain,  $|G(i\omega)| = 1$ , and the phase is  $\arg G(i\omega) = -2 \arctan(\omega/a)$ . The corresponding minimum phase system with unit gain has the transfer function  $G(s) = 1$ . Figure 9.13b shows the Bode plot of the transfer function.


A similar analysis of the transfer function  $G(s) = (s + a)/s - a$  with  $a > 0$ , which has a pole in the right half plane, shows that its phase is  $\arg G(i\omega) = -2 \arctan(a/\omega)$ . The Bode plot is shown in Figure 9.13c

The presence of poles and zeros in the right half plane imposes severe limitations on the achievable performance. Dynamics of this type should be avoided by redesign of the system whenever possible. While the poles are intrinsic properties of the system and they do not depend on sensors and actuators, the zeros depend on how inputs and outputs of a system are coupled to the states. Zeros can thus be changed by moving sensors and actuators or by introducing new sensors and actuators. Non-minimum phase systems are unfortunately quite common in practice.

The following example gives a system theoretic interpretation of the common experience that it is more difficult to drive in reverse gear and illustrates some of the properties of transfer functions in terms of their poles and zeros.

### Example 9.10 Vehicle steering

The non-normalized transfer function from steering angle to lateral velocity for the



**Figure 9.14:** Step responses from steering angle to lateral translation for simple kinematics model when driving forward (dashed) and reverse (full). Notice that the with rear wheel steering the center of mass first moves in the wrong direction and that the overall response with rear wheel steering is significantly delayed compared with front wheel steering. The response in rear steering lags the response in forward steering with 4s.

simple vehicle model is

$$G(s) = \frac{av_0s + v_0^2}{bs}$$

The transfer function has a zero at  $s = v_0/a$ . In normal driving this zero is in the left half plane but it is in the right half plane when driving in reverse,  $v_0 < 0$ . The unit step response is

$$y(t) = \frac{av_0}{b} + \frac{av_0^2 t}{b}$$

The lateral velocity thus responds immediately to a steering command. For reverse steering  $\gamma$  is negative and the initial response is in the wrong direction, a behavior that is representative for non-minimum phase systems. Figure 9.14 shows the step response for forward and reverse driving. In this simulation we have added an extra pole with the time constant  $T$  to approximately account for the dynamics in the steering system. The parameters are  $a = b = 1$ ,  $T = 0.1$ ,  $v_0 = 1$  for forward driving and  $v_0 = -1$  for reverse driving. Notice that for  $t > t_0 = a/v_0$ , where  $t_0$  is the time required to drive the distance  $a$  the step response for reverse driving is that of forward driving with the time delay  $t_0$ . Notice that the position of the zero  $v_0/a$  depends on the location of the sensor. In our calculation we have assumed that the sensor is at the center of mass. The zero in the transfer function disappears if the sensor is located at the rear wheel. The difficulty with zeros in the right half plane can thus be visualized by a thought experiment where we drive a car in forward and reverse and observe the lateral position through a hole in the floor of the car.

▽



## 9.5 THE NOTIONS OF GAIN AND PHASE

A key idea in frequency domain analysis is to trace the behavior of sinusoidal signals through a system. The concepts of gain and phase represented by the transfer function are strongly intuitive because they describe amplitude and phase relations between input and output. In this section we will see how to extend the concepts of gain and phase to more general systems, including some nonlinear systems. We will also show that there are analogs of Nyquist's stability criterion if signals are approximately sinusoidal.

### System Gain

We begin by considering the case of a static linear system  $y = Au$ , where  $A$  is a matrix whose elements are complex numbers. The matrix does not have to be square. Let the inputs and outputs be vectors whose elements are complex numbers and use the Euclidean norm

$$\|u\| = \sqrt{\sum |u_i|^2}. \quad (9.9)$$

The norm of the output is

$$\|y\|^2 = u^* A^* A u,$$

where  $*$  denotes the complex conjugate transpose. The matrix  $A^* A$  is symmetric and positive semidefinite and the right hand side is a quadratic form. The eigenvalues of the matrix  $A^* A$  are all real and we have

$$\|y\|^2 \leq \lambda_{\max}(A^* A) \|u\|^2.$$

The gain of the system can then be defined as the maximum ratio of the output to the input over all possible inputs:

$$\gamma = \max_u \frac{\|y\|}{\|u\|} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^* A)}. \quad (9.10)$$


The eigenvalues of the matrix  $A^* A$  are called the *singular values* of the matrix  $A$  and the largest singular value is denoted  $\bar{\sigma}(A)$ .

To generalize this to the case of an input/output dynamical system, we need to think of the inputs and outputs not as vectors of real numbers, but as vectors of *signals*. For simplicity, consider first the case of scalar signals and let the signal space  $L_2$  be square integrable functions with the norm

$$\|u\|_2 = \sqrt{\int_0^\infty |u|^2(\tau) d\tau}.$$

This definition can be generalized to vector signals by replacing the absolute value with the vector norm (9.9). We can now formally define the gain of a system taking inputs  $u \in L_2$  and producing outputs  $y \in L_2$  as

$$\gamma = \sup_{u \in L_2} \frac{\|y\|}{\|u\|}, \quad (9.11)$$



**Figure 9.15:** A feedback connection of two general nonlinear systems  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . The stability of the system can be explored using the small gain theorem.

where  $\sup$  is the *supremum*, defined as the smallest number that is larger than its argument. The reason for using supremum is that the maximum may not be defined for  $u \in L_2$ . This definition of the system gain is quite general and can even be used for some classes of nonlinear systems, though one needs to be careful about how initial conditions and global nonlinearities are handled.

It turns out that the norm (9.11) has some very nice properties in the case of linear systems. In particular, given a stable linear system with transfer function  $G(s)$  it can be shown that the norm of the system is given by

$$\gamma = \sup_{\omega} |G(i\omega)| =: \|G\|_{\infty}. \quad (9.12)$$

In other words, the gain of the system corresponds to the peak value of the frequency response. This corresponds to our intuition that an input produces the largest output when we are at the resonant frequencies of the system.  $\|G\|_{\infty}$  is called the *infinity norm* of the transfer function  $G(s)$ .

This notion of gain can be generalized to the multi-input, multi-output case as well. For a linear multivariable system with a real rational transfer function matrix  $G(s)$  we can define the gain as

$$\gamma = \|G\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\omega} \bar{\sigma}(G(i\omega)). \quad (9.13)$$

Thus we see that combine the ideas of the gain of a matrix with the gain of a linear system by looking at the maximum singular value over all frequencies.

### Small Gain and Passivity

For linear systems it follows from Nyquist's theorem that the closed loop is stable if the gain of the loop transfer function is less than one for all frequencies. This result can be extended to a larger class of systems by using the concept of the system gain defined in equation (9.11).

**Theorem 9.4** (Small gain theorem). *Consider the closed loop system in Figure 9.15 where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are stable systems and the signal spaces are properly defined. Let the gains of the systems  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ . Then the closed loop system is input/output stable if  $\gamma_1\gamma_2 < 1$ , and the gain of the closed loop system is*

$$\gamma = \frac{\gamma_1}{1 - \gamma_1\gamma_2}.$$

Notice that if systems  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are linear it follows from the Nyquist stability theorem that the closed loop is stable, because if  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 < 1$  the Nyquist curve is always inside the unit circle. The small gain theorem is thus an extension of the Nyquist stability theorem.

Note that although we have focused on linear systems, the small gain theorem actually holds nonlinear input/output systems as well. The definition of gain in equation (9.11) holds for nonlinear systems as well, with some care needed in handling the initial condition.

The main limitation of the small gain theorem is that it does not consider the phasing of signals around the loop, so it can be very conservative. To define the notion of phase we require that there is a scalar product. For square integrable functions this can be defined as

$$\langle u, y \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} u(\tau)y(\tau) d\tau$$

The phase  $\varphi$  between two signals can now be defined as

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \|u\| \|y\| \cos(\varphi)$$

Systems where the phase between inputs and outputs is  $90^\circ$  or less for all inputs are called *passive systems*. It follows from the Nyquist stability theorem that a closed loop linear system is stable if the phase of the loop transfer function is between  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$ . This result can be extended to nonlinear systems as well. It is called the *passivity theorem* and is closely related to the small gain theorem.

Additional applications of the small gain theorem and its application to robust stability are given in Chapter 12.


## Describing Functions

For special nonlinear systems like the one shown in Figure 9.16a, which consists of a feedback connection of a linear system and a static nonlinearity, it is possible to obtain a generalization of Nyquist's stability criterion based on the idea of *describing functions*. Following the approach of the Nyquist stability condition, we will investigate the conditions for maintaining an oscillation in the system. If the linear subsystem has low-pass character, its output is approximately sinusoidal even if its input is highly irregular. The condition for oscillation can then be found by exploring the propagation of a sinusoid that corresponds to the first harmonic.

To carry out this analysis, we have to analyze how a sinusoidal signal propagates through a static nonlinear system. In particular we investigate how the first harmonic of the output of the nonlinearity is related to its (sinusoidal) input. Letting  $F$  represent the nonlinear function, we expand  $F(e^{-i\omega t})$  in terms of its harmonics:

$$F(ae^{-i\omega t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n(a) e^{in\omega t - \varphi_n(a)},$$

where  $M_n(a)$  and  $\varphi_n(a)$  represent the gain and phase of  $n$ th harmonic, which depend on the input amplitude since the function  $F$  is nonlinear. We define the



**Figure 9.16:** Illustration of describing function analysis. A feedback connection of a static nonlinearity and a linear system is shown in (a). The linear system is characterized by its transfer function  $L(i\omega)$ , which depends on frequency, and the nonlinearity by its describing function  $N(a)$  which depends on the amplitude  $a$  of its input. (b) shows the Nyquist plot of  $G(i\omega)$  and the plot of the  $-1/N(a)$ . The intersection of the curves represent a possible limit cycle.

describing function to be the complex gain of the first harmonic:

$$N(a) = M_1(a)e^{i\phi_n(a)}. \quad (9.14)$$

The function can also be computed by assuming that the input is a sinusoid and using the first term in the Fourier series of the resulting output.

Arguing as we did when deriving Nyquist's stability criterion we find that an oscillation can be maintained if


$$L(i\omega)N(a) = -1. \quad (9.15)$$

This equation means that if we inject a sinusoid at A in Figure 9.16 the same signal will appear at B and an oscillation can be maintained by connecting the points. Equation (9.15) gives two conditions for finding the frequency  $\omega$  of the oscillation and its amplitude  $a$ : the phase must be  $180^\circ$  and the magnitude must be unity. A convenient way to solve the equation is to plot  $L(i\omega)$  and  $-1/N(a)$  on the same diagram as shown in Figure 9.16c. The diagram is similar to the Nyquist plot where the critical point  $-1$  is replaced by the curve  $-1/N(a)$  and  $a$  ranges from 0 to  $\infty$ .

It is possible to define describing functions for other types of inputs than sinusoids. Describing function analysis is a simple method but it is approximate because it assumes that higher harmonics can be neglected. Excellent treatments of describing function techniques can be found in the texts by Graham and McRuer [89] and Atherton [21].

### Example 9.11 Relay with hysteresis

Consider a linear system with a nonlinearity consisting of a relay with hysteresis. The output has amplitude  $b$  and the relay switches when the input is  $\pm c$ , as shown in Figure 9.17a. Assuming that the input is  $u = a \sin(\omega t)$  we find that the output is zero if  $a \leq c$  and if  $a > c$  the output is a square wave with amplitude  $b$  that switches at times  $\omega t = \arcsin(c/a) + n\pi$ . The first harmonic is then  $y(t) = (4b/\pi) \sin(\omega t -$



**Figure 9.17:** Describing function analysis for relay with hysteresis. The input-output relation of the hysteresis is shown in Figure 9.17a and Figure 9.17b shows the input, the output and its first harmonic. Figure 9.17c shows the Nyquist plots of the transfer function  $G(s) = (s+1)^{-4}$  and the negative of the inverse describing function for the relay with  $b = 1$  and  $c = 1$ .

$\alpha$ ), where  $\sin \alpha = c/a$ . For  $a > c$  the describing function and its inverse are

$$N(a) = \frac{4b}{a\pi} \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{a^2}} - i \frac{c}{a} \right), \quad \frac{1}{N(a)} = \frac{\pi \sqrt{a^2 - c^2}}{4b} + i \frac{\pi c}{4b},$$

where the inverse is obtained after simple calculations. Figure 9.17b shows the response of the relay to a sinusoidal input with the first harmonic of the output shown as a dashed line. Describing function analysis is illustrated in Figure 9.16b which shows the Nyquist plot of the transfer function  $G(s) = 2/(s+1)^4$  (dashed) and the negative inverse describing function of a relay with  $b = 1$  and  $c = 0.5$ . The curves intersect for  $a = 1$  and  $\omega = 0.77$  rad/s indicating the amplitude and frequency for a possible oscillation if the process and the relay are connected in a feedback loop.  $\nabla$

## 9.6 FURTHER READING

Nyquist's original paper giving his now famous stability criterion was published in the Bell Systems Technical Journal in 1932 [156]. More accessible versions are found in the book [27], which also has other interesting early papers on control. Nyquist's paper is also reprinted in an IEEE collection of seminal papers on control [68]. Nyquist used  $+1$  as the critical point but Bode changed it to  $-1$ , which is now the standard notation. Interesting perspectives on the early development are given by Black [37], Bode [42] and Bennett [29]. Nyquist did a direct calculation based on his insight of propagation of sinusoidal signals through systems; he did not use results from the theory of complex functions. The idea that a short proof can be given by using the principle of variation of the argument is given in the delightful little book by MacColl [136]. Bode made extensive use of complex function theory in his book [41], which laid the foundation for frequency response analysis where the notion of minimum phase was treated in detail. A good source for theory of complex functions is the classic by Ahlfors [6]. Frequency response analysis was a key element in the emergence of control theory as described in the

early texts by James *et al.* [108], Brown and Campbell [47] and Oldenburger [67], and it became one of the cornerstones of early control theory. Frequency response had a resurgence when robust control emerged in the 1980s, as will be discussed in Chapter 12.

## EXERCISES

**9.1** Consider the op amp circuit in Figure 8.3 show that the loop transfer function is given by

$$L(s) = \frac{R_1 G(s)}{R_1 + R_2},$$

where  $G(s)$  is the transfer function of the op amp itself. The closed loop gain of the circuit is  $R_1/R_2$  which is close to unity when  $R_1 = R_2$ . The loop transfer function obtained in this case is called unit gain loop transfer function. See Example 8.3. Example 6.10.

**9.2** Consider an op amp circuit with  $Z_1 = Z_2$  that gives a closed loop system with nominal unit gain. Let the transfer function of the operational amplifier be

$$G(s) = \frac{ka_1 a_2}{(s+a)(s+a_1)(s+a_2)}$$

where  $a_1, a_2 \gg a$  show that the condition for oscillation is  $k < \sqrt{a_1 a_2}$ .

**9.3** In design of op amp circuits it is a tradition to make the Bode plots of the transfer functions  $G(s)$  and  $(Z_1(s) + Z_2(s))/Z_1(s)$ . Show that this is essentially equivalent to the Bode plot of the loop transfer function of the circuit and that the gain crossover frequency corresponds to the intersections of the gain curves of  $G(s)$  and  $(Z_1(s) + Z_2(s))/Z_1(s)$ .

**9.4** Use the Nyquist theorem to analyze the stability of the cruise control system in Example ??, but using the original PI controller from Example 6.10.

**9.5** The dynamics of the tapping mode of an atomic force microscope is dominated by the damping of the cantilever vibrations and the system which averages the vibrations. Modeling the cantilever as a spring-mass system with low damping we find that the amplitude of the vibrations decay as  $\exp(-\zeta \omega t)$  where  $\zeta$  is the relative damping and  $\omega$  the undamped natural frequency of the cantilever. The cantilever dynamics can thus be modeled by the transfer function

$$G(s) = \frac{b}{s+a}.$$

where  $a = \zeta \omega_0$ . The averaging process can be modeled by the input-output relation

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t-\tau}^t u(v) dv,$$



where the averaging time is a multiple  $n$  of the period of the oscillation  $2\pi/\omega$ . The dynamics of the piezo scanner can be neglected in the first approximation because it is typically much faster than  $a$ . A simple model for the complete system is thus given by the transfer function

$$P(s) = \frac{a(1 - e^{-s\tau})}{s\tau(s + a)}.$$

Plot the Nyquist curve of the system and determine the gain of a proportional controller which brings the system to the boundary of stability.

**9.6** A simple model for heat conduction in a solid is given by the transfer function

$$P(s) = ke^{-\sqrt{s}}.$$

Sketch the Nyquist plot of the system. Determine the frequency where the phase of the process is  $-180^\circ$  and the gain at that frequency. Show that the gain required to bring the system to the stability boundary is  $k = e^\pi$ .

**9.7** In Example 9.4 we developed a linearize model of the dynamics for a congestion control mechanism on the Internet, following [134] and [101]. A linearized version of the model is represented by the transfer function

$$L(s)\rho \cdot \frac{N}{\tau^*s + e^{-\tau^*s}} \cdot \frac{c^3\tau^{*3}}{2N^3(c\tau^{*2}s + 2N^2)} e^{-\tau^*s}$$

where  $c$  is the link capacity in packets/ms,  $N$  load factor (number of TCP sessions),  $\rho$  is the drop probability factor and  $\tau$  is the round-trip time in seconds. Consider the situation with the parameters  $N = 80$ ,  $c = 4$ ,  $\rho = 10^{-2}$  and  $\tau^* = 0.25$ . Find the stability margin of the system, also determine the stability margin if the time delay becomes  $\tau^* = 0.5$ .

**9.8** Consider the transfer functions

$$G_1(s) = e^{-sT_d}, \quad G_2(s) = \frac{a-s}{a+s}.$$

Use the approximation

$$e^{-sT} \approx \frac{1 - sT/2}{1 + sT/2}.$$

to show that the minimum phase properties of the transfer functions are similar if  $T_d = 2/a$ . A long time delay  $T_d$  is thus equivalent to a small right half plane zero.

**9.9** (Inverted pendulum) Consider the inverted pendulum in Example 9.6. Show that the Nyquist curve is the ellipse

$$(x+k)^2 + 4y^2 = k^2$$

**9.10** Consider the linearized model for vehicle steering with a controller based on state feedback discussed in ???. The transfer function of the process is

$$P(s) = \frac{\gamma s + 1}{s^2}$$

and the controller has the transfer function

$$C(s) = \frac{s(k_1 l_1 + k_2 l_2) + k_1 l_2}{s^2 + s(\gamma k_1 + k_2 + l_1) + k_1 + l_2 + k_2 l_1 - \gamma k_2 l_2},$$

as computed in Example 8.6. Let the process parameter be  $\gamma = 0.5$  and assume that the state feedback gains are  $k_1 = 1$  and  $k_2 = 0.914$ , and the observer gains are  $l_1 = 2.828$  and  $l_2 = 4$ . Compute the stability margins numerically. The phase margin of the system is  $44^\circ$  and the gain margin is infinite since the phase lag is never greater than  $180^\circ$ , indicating that the closed loop system is robust.

### 9.11

**9.12** Consider Bode's formula (9.8) for the relation between gain and phase for a transfer function that has all its singularities in the left half plane. Plot the weighting function and make an assessment of the frequencies where the approximation  $\arg G \approx (\pi/2)d \log |G|/d \log \omega$  is valid.

**9.13** Consider a closed loop system with the loop transfer function

$$L(s) = \frac{k}{s(s+1)^2}.$$

Use the Nyquist criterion to determine if the closed loop system is stable and what the gain, phase and stability margins are.

**9.14** (Loop transfer function with RHP pole) Consider a feedback system with the loop transfer function

$$L(s) = \frac{k}{s(s-1)(s+5)}.$$

This transfer function has a pole at  $s = 1$  which is inside the Nyquist contour. Draw the Nyquist plot for this system and determine if the closed loop system is stable.

**9.15** (Congestion control) A strongly simplified flow model of TCP loop in overload conditions is given by the loop transfer function

$$L(s) = \frac{k}{s} e^{-sT_d},$$

where the queuing dynamics is modeled by an integrator, the TCP window control by a time delay  $T_d$  is the time delay and the controller is simply a proportional controller. A major difficulty is that the time delay may change significantly during the operation of the system. Show that if we can measure the time delay, it is possible to choose a gain that gives a stability margin of  $s_n \geq 0.6$  for all time delays  $T_d$ .

### 9.16