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Program Analysis

• How could we analyze a program (with source code) and look for problems?
• How accurate would our analysis be without executing the code?
• If we execute the code, what input values should we use to test/analyze the code?
• What if we don’t have the source code?

When I suggest using static code analysis to reduce the number of errors

https://www.viva64.com
What is Program Analysis?

- Body of work to discover useful facts about programs

- Broadly classified into three kinds:
  - Dynamic (execution-time)
  - Static (compile-time)
  - Hybrid (combines dynamic and static)
Dynamic Program Analysis

- Infer facts of program by monitoring its runs

- Examples:
  - Array bound checking: *Purify*
  - Datarace detection: *Eraser*
  - Memory leak detection: *Valgrind*
  - Finding likely invariants: *Daikon*
Static Analysis

- Infer facts of the program by inspecting its source (or binary) code

- Examples:

  - Suspicious error patterns
    - *Lint*, *FindBugs*, *Coverity*
  - Memory leak detection
    - *Facebook Infer*
  - Checking API usage rules
    - *Microsoft SLAM*
  - Verifying invariants
    - *ESC/Java*
Program Invariants

- An invariant at the end of the program is \((z == c)\) for some constant \(c\). What is \(c\)?

```c
int p(int x) { return x * x; }

void main() {
    int z;
    if (getc() == 'a')
        z = p(6) + 6;
    else
        z = p(-7) - 7;
    z = ?
}
```
QUIZ: Program Invariants

- An invariant at the end of the program is \((z == c)\) for some constant \(c\). What is \(c\)?

```c
int p(int x) { return x * x; }
void main() {
    int z;
    if (getc() == 'a')
        z = p(6) + 6;
    else
        z = p(-7) - 7;
    if (z != 42)
        disaster();
}
```

Disaster averted!

\(z = 42\)
Discovering Invariants By Dynamic Analysis

- \((z == 42)\) might be an invariant

- \((z == 30)\) is definitely not an invariant

```c
int p(int x) { return x * x; }
void main() {
    int z;
    if (getc() == 'a')
        z = p(6) + 6;
    else
        z = p(-7) - 7;
    if (z != 42)
        disaster();
}
```

\[ z = 42 \]
Discovering Invariants By Static Analysis

is definitely
• (z == 42) might be an invariant

• (z == 30) is definitely not an invariant

```
int p(int x) { return x * x; }

void main() {
    int z;
    if (getc() == ‘a’)
        z = p(6) + 6;
    else
        z = p(-7) - 7;
    if (z != 42)
        disaster();
}
```

z = 42
## Dynamic vs. Static Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dynamic</th>
<th>Static</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effectiveness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Unsound (may miss errors)  
B. Proportional to program’s execution time  
C. Proportional to program’s size  
D. Incomplete (may report false positives)
## QUIZ: Dynamic vs. Static Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dynamic</th>
<th>Static</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>B. Proportional to program’s execution time</td>
<td>C. Proportional to program’s size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effectiveness</strong></td>
<td>A. Unsound (may miss errors)</td>
<td>D. Incomplete (may report false positives)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Static Analysis
Static analysis

- Analyze program’s code without running it
  - In a sense, ask a computer to do code review
- Benefit: (much) higher coverage
  - Reason about many possible runs of the program
    - Sometimes all of them, providing a guarantee
  - Reason about incomplete programs (e.g., libraries)
- Drawbacks:
  - Can only analyze limited properties
  - May miss some errors, or have false alarms
  - Can be time- and resource-consuming
The Halting Problem

Can we write an analyzer that can prove, for any program $P$ and inputs to it, $P$ will terminate?

- Doing so is called the halting problem
- Unfortunately, this is undecidable: any analyzer will fail to produce an answer for at least some programs and/or inputs
So is static analysis impossible?

- Perfect static analysis is not possible
- Useful static analysis is perfectly possible, despite
  - Nontermination - analyzer never terminates, or
  - False alarms - claimed errors are not really errors, or
  - Missed errors - no error reports ≠ error free
- Nonterminating analyses are confusing, so tools tend to exhibit only false alarms and/or missed errors

[Levin’18]
Reminder

• Soundness: No error found = no error exists
  • Alarms may be false errors
• Completeness: Any error found = real error
  • Silence does not guarantee no errors
• Basically any useful analysis
  • is neither sound nor complete (def. not both)
  • … usually leans one way or the other
The Art of Static Analysis

- Design goals:
  - Precision: Carefully model program, minimize false positives/negatives
  - Scalability: Successfully analyze large programs
  - Understandability: Error reports should be actionable
- Observation: Code style is important
  - Aim to be precise for “good” programs
    - OK to forbid yucky code in the name of safety
    - Code that is more understandable to the analysis is more understandable to humans

[Levin’18]
A very quick and short review of compliers!
The Structure of a Compiler

- **Source code (stream of characters)**
  - **scanner**
  - **stream of tokens**
  - **parser**
  - **Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)**
  - **checker**
  - **AST with annotations (types, declarations)**
  - **code gen**
  - **Machine/byte code**
Syntactic Analysis

• Input: sequence of tokens from scanner
• Output: abstract syntax tree
• Actually,
  • parser first builds a parse tree
  • AST is then built by translating the parse tree
Example

- **Source Code**
  
  4*(2+3)

- **Parser input**
  
  NUM(4) TIMES LPAR NUM(2) PLUS NUM(3) RPAR

- **Parser output (AST):**
  
  *
  
  +
  
  NUM(4)
  
  NUM(2) NUM(3)
Parse tree for the example: $4 \times (2 + 3)$

leaves are tokens
Another example

- Source Code

\[
\text{if (x == y) \{ a=1; \}}
\]

- Parser input

\[
\text{IF LPAR ID EQ ID RPAR LBR ID AS INT SEMI RBR}
\]

- Parser output (AST):

\[
\text{IF-THEN}
\]

  \[
  == \\
  \text{ID} \quad \text{ID}
  \]

  \[
  = \\
  \text{ID} \quad \text{INT}
  \]
Parse tree for example: if (x==y) {a=1;}

leaves are tokens
Parse Tree

• Representation of grammars in a tree-like form.
• Is a one-to-one mapping from the grammar to a tree-form.
C Statement: return a + 2

A very formal representation that strictly shows how the parser understands the statement return a + 2;
Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)

- Simplified syntactic representations of the source code, and they're most often expressed by the data structures of the language used for implementation.
- Without showing the whole syntactic clutter, represents the parsed string in a structured way, discarding all information that may be important for parsing the string, but isn't needed for analyzing it.
C Statement: `return a + 2`
AST Example

• What would be the AST for:
  
  • \( y := x; \)
  • \( z := 1; \)
  • \( \text{while } y > 1 \text{ do} \)
    • \( z := z \times y; \)
    • \( y := y - 1 \)
Matching AST against Bug Patterns

- AST Walker Analysis
  - Walk the AST, looking for nodes of a particular type
  - Check the immediate neighborhood of the node for a bug pattern
  - Warn if the node matches the pattern
- Semantic grep
  - Like grep, looking for simple patterns
  - Unlike grep, consider not just names, but semantic structure of AST

[Aldrich’11]
Checking System Rules Using System-Specific, Programmer-Written Compiler Extensions
Dawson Engler, Benjamin Chelf, Andy Chou, Seth Hallem, OSDI 2005
Motivation

• Developers of systems software have “rules” to check for correctness or performance. (Do X, don’t do X, do X before Y…)

• Code that does not obey these “rules” will run slow, crash the system, launch the missiles…

• Consequently, we need a systematic way of finding as many of these bugs as we can, preferably for as little cost as possible.
What’s the Problem?

• Current solutions all have trade-offs.
• Formal Specifications-rigorous, mathematical approach
  • Finds obscure bugs, but is hard to do, expensive, and don’t always mirror the actual written code.
• Testing-systematic approach to test the actual code
  • Will detect bugs, but testing a large system could require exponential/combinatorial number of test cases. It also doesn’t isolate where the bug is, just that a bug exists.
• Manual Inspection-peer review of the code
  • Peer has knowledge of whole system and semantics, but doesn’t have the diligence of a computer.
What’s the Problem?

- None of the current methods seem to give us what we’re looking for.
- Can the compiler check the code?
  - It would be nice to put the code in the compiler and have it check all of the “rules.”
  - Unfortunately, those “rules” are based on semantics of the system that the compiler doesn’t understand. (Lock and Unlock are valid to the compiler, but how and when they should be used isn’t.)
- Need some technique that merges the domain knowledge of the developer with the analysis of a compiler.
What’s the Solution?

- Meta-level compilation (MC) combines the domain knowledge of developers with analysis capabilities of a compiler.
- Allows programmers to write short, simple, system-specific checkers that take into account unique semantics of a system.
- Checkers are then added to a compiler to check during compile-time.

[Thornton'05]
What’s the Solution?

- The author’s [Engler] MC system uses a high-level, state-machine language called Metal.
- Metal extensions written by programmers are linked to a compiler (xg++) that analyzes the code as it is being compiled.
  - Intra and Interprocedural analysis.
How does it work?

- The language is a high-level, state-machine language.
- Two parts of the language—pattern part and state-transition part.
  - Pattern language—finds “interesting” parts of code based on the extension the programmer writes.
  - State-transition—Based on the discovered pattern, current state, either move to a new state or raise an error.
- Tests are written and then added to the xg++ compiler. Xg++ includes a base library that includes some common, useful functions and types.
Metacompilation (MC)

- Implementation:
  - Extensions dynamically linked into GNU gcc compiler
  - Applied down all paths in input program source

- Scalable: handles millions of lines of code
- Precise: says exactly what error was
- Immediate: finds bugs without having to execute path
- Effective: 1500+ errors in Linux source code

```c
ent->data = kmalloc(..)
if(!ent->data)
  free(ent);
  goto out;
...
out: return ent;
```

“using ent after free!”

[Engler’02]
A bit more detail

```c
sm free_checker {
  state decl any_pointer v;
  decl any_pointer x;

  start: { kfree(v); } ==> v.freed;
  v.freed:
    { v != x } || { v == x }
      ==> { /* do nothing */ } |
    { v } ==> { err("Use after free!"); } |
};

/* 2.4.1: fs/proc/generic.c */
ext->data = kmalloc(…)
if(!ent->data) {
  kfree(ent);
  goto out;
... 
out: return ent;
```
A quick analysis example

```c
foo(int *x) {
    freeit(x);
    if(y)
        ...
    ...
}

freeit(int *z) {
    kfree(z);
}

bar(int *y) {
    freeit(y);
    *y
}
```

[Engler’02]
A quick analysis example

foo(int *x) {
  freeit(x);
  if(y)
    ...
    ...
    *x
}

freeit(int *z) {
  kfree(z);
}

bar(int *y) {
  freeit(y);
  *y
}

[Engler’02]
A quick analysis example

```c
foo(int *x) {
    freeit(x);
    if(y)
        ...
        ...
        *x
}

freeit(int *z) {
    kfree(z);
    { v: z.start->freed }
}

bar(int *y) {
    freeit(y);
    *y
}
[Engler’02]
```
A quick analysis example

```c
foo(int *x) {
    freeit(x);
    if(y) { v:z.freed }
}

kfree(z);
{ v:z.start->freed }

freeit(int *z) {
}

bar(int *y) {
    freeit(y);
    *y
}
```

[Engler’02]
A quick analysis example

```c
foo(int *x) {
    freeit(x);
    if(y)
        ...
    { v:x.freed }
        ...
    { v:x.freed }
        *
    ...
}

freeit(int *z) {
    kfree(z);
    { v:z.start->freed }
}

bar(int *y) {
    freeit(y);
    *
    { v: y }
}
```
A quick analysis example

```c
foo(int *x) {
    freeit(x);
    if(y)
        ...
    ...
    { v:z.freed }
    { v:x.freed }
    *x
    ERROR: use after free!
}

test(int *z) {
    kfree(z);
    { v:z.start->freed }
}

test(int *y) {
    freeit(y);
    *y
}
```

[Engler’02]
A quick analysis example

```c
foo(int *x) {
    freeit(x);
    if(y) {
        freeit(y);
    }...
    { v:z.freed }
    { v:x.freed }
    }...{ v:x.freed }
    { v:z.freed }
    }...{ v:z.freed }
}
ERROR: use after free!

bar(int *y) {
    freeit(y);
    *y
    }
```
A quick analysis example

```c
foo(int *x) {
    freeit(x);
    if(y)
        ...
    ...
    *x
}

bar(int *y) {
    freeit(y);
    *y
}

kfree(z);
}{ v:z.start->freed }

{ v:z.freed }

ERROR: use after free!

{ v:z.freed }
{ v:z.freed }
{ v:z.freed }
{ v:z.freed }

freeit(int *z) {
    kfree(z);
    }
```

[Engler’02]
A quick analysis example

```
foo(int *x) {
  freeit(x);
  if (y)
    ...
  ...
  *x
}
```

```
freeit(int *z) {
  kfree(z);
  ...
  { v: z.freed }
  { v: z.freed }
  { v: z.freed }
  { v: z.freed }
  { v: z.freed }
  ERROR: use after free!
}
```

```
bar(int *y) {
  freeit(y);
  *y
}
```
A quick analysis example

```c
foo(int *x) {
    freeit(x);
    if(y) {
        ... *x
        } else {
        ERROR: use after free!
    }
}
```

```c
bar(int *y) {
    freeit(y);
    ... *y
}
```

```c
freeit(int *z) {
    kfree(z);
    { v:z.start->freed }
}
```

```c
freeit(int *z) {
    kfree(z);
    { v:z.freed }
}
```
Bugs to Detect

Some examples

- Crash Causing Defects
- Null pointer dereference
- Use after free
- Double free
- Array indexing errors
- Mismatched array new/delete
- Potential stack overrun
- Potential heap overrun
- Return pointers to local variables
- Logically inconsistent code

- Uninitialized variables
- Invalid use of negative values
- Passing large parameters by value
- Underallocations of dynamic data
- Memory leaks
- File handle leaks
- Network resource leaks
- Unused values
- Unhandled return codes
- Use of invalid iterators

Slide credit: Andy Chou
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[Mitchell’15]
Example: Check for missing optional args

• Prototype for open() syscall:
  • int open(const char *path, int oflag, /* mode_t mode */...);

• Typical mistake:
  • fd = open(“file”, O_CREAT);

• Result: file has random permissions

• Check: Look for oflags == O_CREAT without mode argument
Example: Chroot protocol checker

- Goal: confine process to a “jail” on the filesystem
  - chroot() changes filesystem root for a process
- Problem
  - chroot() itself does not change current working directory

```
chroot()
chdir("/")
open("../file", ...)
```
Error if open before chdir

[Mitchell’15]
Tainting checkers

Tainted data accepted from source

Unvetted data taints other data transitively

Tainted data is used in an operator or function

Example Sinks: system(), printf(), malloc(), strcpy(), Sent to RDBMS, Included in HTML

Resultant Vulnerability: command injection, format string manip., integer/ buffer overflow, SQL injection, cross site scripting

[Mitchell’15]
Callgraph

main

atoi  exit  free  malloc  say_hello

fgets  printf

[Mitchell’15]
Reverse Topological Sort

Idea: analyze function before you analyze caller

[Mitchell’15]
Apply Library Models

Tool has built-in summaries of library function behavior
Bottom Up Analysis

Analyze function using known properties of functions it calls

[Mitchell’15]
Bottom Up Analysis

Analyze function using known properties of functions it calls
Bottom Up Analysis

Finish analysis by analyzing all functions in the program
Finding Local Bugs

#define SIZE 8
void set_a_b(char * a, char * b) {
    char * buf[SIZE];
    if (a) {
        b = new char[5];
    } else {
        if (a && b) {
            buf[SIZE] = a;
            return;
        } else {
            delete [] b;
        }
        *b = ‘x’;
    }
    *a = *b;
}
Control Flow Graph

Represent logical structure of code in graph form

char * buf[8];

if (a)

b = new char [5];

if (a && b)

buf[8] = a;

delete [] b;

*b = 'x';

*a = *b;

END
Path Traversal

```c
char * buf[8];
if (a) 
  b = new char [5];
if (a && b) 
  buf[8] = a;
delete [] b;
*b = 'x';
*a = *b;
END
```

**Conceptually** Analyze each path through control graph separately

**Actually** Perform some checking computation once per node; combine paths at merge nodes
Apply Checking

See how three checkers are run for this path

Checker
• Defined by a state diagram, with state transitions and error states

Run Checker
• Assign initial state to each program var
• State at program point depends on state at previous point, program actions
• Emit error if error state reached

char * buf[8];

if (a)

!a

if (a && b)

!(a && b)

delete [] b;

*b = 'x';

*a = *b;

END

Null pointers | Use after free | Array overrun

(Book'15)
Apply Checking

```c
char * buf[8];
if (a)
  !a
  if (a && b)
    !(a && b)
    delete [] b;
  *b = 'x';
    *a = *b;
END
```

Null pointers  Use after free  Array overrun

“buf is 8 bytes”

[MITCHELL'15]
char * buf[8];

if (a)

!a

if (a && b)

!(a && b)

delete [] b;

*b = 'x';

*a = *b;

END

Apply Checking

Null pointers Use after free Array overrun

"buf is 8 bytes"

"a is null"

"a is null"

[Mitchell’15]
char * buf[8];

if (a)
  !a

if (a && b)
  !(a && b)

delete [] b;

*b = 'x';

*a = *b;

END

Apply Checking

Null pointers  Use after free  Array overrun

“buf is 8 bytes”

“a is null”

Already knew a was null

Spring 1398  Ce 874 - Program Analysis  [Mitchell’15]
Apply Checking

Null pointers

Use after free

Array overrun

```c
char * buf[8];
if (a)
!a
if (a && b)
!(a && b)
delete [] b;
*b = 'x';
*a = *b;
END
```

“buf is 8 bytes”

“a is null”

“b is deleted”

“a is null”

“b is deleted”
char * buf[8];
if (a)
    !a
if (a && b)
    !(a && b)
delete [] b;
*b = 'x';
*a = *b;
END

Apply Checking

Null pointers
Use after free
Array overrun

“buf is 8 bytes”
“a is null”
“b is deleted”
“b dereferenced!”

[Mitchell’15]
False Positives

- What is a bug? Something the user will fix.

- Many sources of false positives
  - False paths
  - Idioms
  - Execution environment assumptions
  - Killpaths
  - Conditional compilation
  - “third party code”
  - Analysis imprecision
  - …
char * buf[8];

if (a)
    b = new char [5];

if (a && b)
    buf[8] = a;

delete [] b;

*b = 'x';

*a = *b;

END
char * buf[8];
if (a)
!a
if (a && b)
a && b
buf[8] = a;
END
char * buf[8];

if (a && b)
    buf[8] = a;

END

“a in [0,0]”

“a == 0 is true”

False Path Pruning  Integer Range  Disequality  Branch

Spring 1398  Ce 874 - Program Analysis  [Mitchell’15]
False Path Pruning

Integer Range

Disequality

Branch

char * buf[8];

if (a)

!a

if (a && b)

a && b

buf[8] = a;

“a in [0,0]”

“a == 0 is true”

“a != 0”

“a != 0”
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[Mitchell’15]
`char * buf[8];`

`if (a)`

`!a`

`if (a && b)`

`a && b`

`buf[8] = a;`

`END`

---

**False Path Pruning**

**Integer Range**

**Disequality**

**Branch**

---

**Impossible**

```
“a in [0,0]”
```

```
“a != 0”
```

```
“a == 0 is true”
```

```
“a != 0”
```

---

Spring 1398
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[Mitchell’15]
Goal: find as many serious bugs as possible

- Problem: what are the rules?!?!?
  - 100-1000s of rules in 100-1000s of subsystems.
  - To check, must answer: Must a() follow b()? Can foo() fail? Does bar(p) free p? Does lock l protect x?
- Manually finding rules is hard. So don’t. Instead infer what code believes, cross check for contradiction
- Intuition: how to find errors without knowing truth?
  - Contradiction. To find lies: cross-examine. Any contradiction is an error.
  - Deviance. To infer correct behavior: if 1 person does X, might be right or a coincidence. If 1000s do X and 1 does Y, probably an error.
  - Crucial: we know contradiction is an error without knowing the correct belief!

[Engler’02]
Cross-checking program belief systems

• MUST beliefs:
  • Inferred from acts that imply beliefs code *must* have.
    - \( x = \ast p / z; \) \hspace{1em} // MUST belief: \( p \) not null
    - \hspace{1em} // MUST: \( z \) != 0
    - unlock(l); \hspace{1em} // MUST: \( l \) acquired
    - \( x++; \) \hspace{1em} // MUST: \( x \) not protected by \( l \)
  • Check using internal consistency: infer beliefs at different locations, then cross-check for contradiction

• MAY beliefs: could be coincidental
  • Inferred from acts that imply beliefs code *may* have

\[
A(); \hspace{1em} A(); \hspace{1em} A(); \hspace{1em} A();
\]

\[
\cdots \hspace{1em} \cdots \hspace{1em} \cdots \hspace{1em} \cdots \hspace{1em} // MAY: A() and B()
\]

\[
B(); \hspace{1em} // MUST: B() need not \hspace{1em} // be preceded by A()
\]

\[
B(); \hspace{1em} B(); \hspace{1em} B(); \hspace{1em} // MAY: A() and B()
\]

\[
\hspace{1em} // must be paired
\]

• Check as MUST beliefs; rank errors by belief confidence.
Environment Assumptions

- Should the return value of malloc() be checked?

```c
int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
*p = 42;
```

- OS Kernel: Crash machine.
- File server: Pause filesystem.
- Web application: 200ms downtime
- Spreadsheet: Lose unsaved changes.
- Game: Annoy user.
- IP Phone: Annoy user.
- Library: ?
- Medical device: malloc?!
Statistical Analysis

- Assume the code is usually right

```c
int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
*p = 42;

int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
*p = 42;

int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
*p = 42;

int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
*p = 42;

int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
*p = 42;

int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
if(p) *p = 42;

int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
if(p) *p = 42;

int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
if(p) *p = 42;

int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
if(p) *p = 42;
```

[Mitchell’15]
Sanitize integers before use

Warn when unchecked integers from untrusted sources reach trusting sinks

```
array[v]
while(i < v)
...
```

Linux: 125 errors, 24 false; BSD: 12 errors, 4 false

[Mitchell’15]
Example security holes

- Remote exploit, no checks

```c
/* 2.4.9/drivers/isdn/act2000/capi.c:actcapi_dispatch */
isdn_ctrl cmd;
...
while ((skb = skb_dequeue(&card->rcvq))) {
    msg =skb->data;
    ...
    memcpy(cmd.parm.setup.phone,
           msg->msg.connect_ind.addr.num,
           msg->msg.connect_ind.addr.len - 1);
```

[Mitchell’15]
Example security holes

- Missed lower-bound check:

```c
/* 2.4.5/drivers/char/drm/i810_dma.c */

if(copy_from_user(&d, arg, sizeof(arg)))
    return -EFAULT;
if(d.idx > dma->buf_count)
    return -EINVAL;
buf = dma->buflist[d.idx];
Copy_from_user(buf_priv->virtual, d.address, d.used);
```
Results for BSD and Linux

- All bugs released to implementers; most serious fixed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Linux Bug Fixed</th>
<th>BSD Bug Fixed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gain control of system</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrupt memory</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read arbitrary memory</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of service</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Mitchell’15]
This is all very nice, but how do you analyze the actual code?
How to find all code?

- Better: intercept and rewrite build commands

```
make -w > out
replay.pl out  # replace 'gcc' w/ 'prevent'
```

- In theory: see all compilation calls and all options etc.
- Worked fine for a few customers.
  - Then: “make?”
  - Then: “Why do you only check 10K lines of our 3MLOC system?”
  - “Why do I have to re-install my OS from CD after I run your tool”
Some cursory experiences

- Bugs are everywhere
  - Initially worried we’d resort to historical data…
  - 100 checks? You’ll find bugs (if not, bug in analysis)
  - People don’t fix all the bugs
- Finding errors often easy, saying why is hard
  - Have to track and articulate all reasons.
Two big open questions

- How to find the most important bug?
  - Main metric is bug counts or type
  - How to flag the 2-3 bugs that will really kill system?
- Do static tools really help?

The hope

Bugs found

Bugs that mattered

The null hypothesis

Bugs found

Bugs that mattered

A Possibility

[Engler’02]
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