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Vulnerabilities are Inevitable

Any single buffer overflow, use-after-free, or null pointer dereference might allow an attacker to run malicious code.

We’re getting better at finding and preventing bugs, but vulnerabilities are still common. There will always be bugs.

**Example:** In January 2021, Qualys discovered a heap overflow in `sudo` that allows users to run programs with the security privileges of another user. The bug was introduced in 2011 (CVE-2021-3156) and affected Linux, Mac OS, and BSD.
Even Safe Languages have Bugs!

Python language is written in C and has itself had vulnerabilities

**CVE-2016-5636:** Integer overflow in the `get_data` function allows attackers to trigger a heap-based buffer overflow in `zipimport.c` by specifying a negative data size

Bug could be triggered inside of interpreted Python scripts
Systems must be designed to be resilient in the face of both software vulnerabilities and malicious users.
Defense in Depth

Systems should be built with security protections at multiple layers

Example:

• Chrome should prevent malicious website from accessing other tabs
• OS should prevent access to other processes (e.g., Password Manager)
• HW should prevent permanent malware installation in device
• Network should prevent malware from infecting nearby computers
Defense in Depth

Systems should be built with security protections at multiple layers

**Example:** What if there’s a vulnerability in Chrome’s Javascript interpreter?

- Chrome should prevent malicious website from accessing other tabs
- OS should prevent access to other processes (e.g., Password Manager)
- HW should prevent permanent malware installation in device firmware
- Network should prevent malware from infecting nearby computers
Principles of Secure Systems

✓ Defense in depth

Principle of least privilege

Privilege separation

Open design (Kerckhoffs's principle)

Keep it simple
Least Privilege

Users should only have access to the data and resources needed to perform routine, authorized tasks

Real World Examples:

• Faculty can only change grades for classes they teach
• Only employees with background checks have access to classified documents
Least Privilege (2)

Faculty can only change grades for classes they teach.

Who are we really protecting against?

- Faculty themselves — curious or even malicious — could cause widespread damage
- External attackers — a student would need to own only the single least secure faculty member on campus — huge attack surface
Privilege Separation

*Least Privilege* requires dividing a system into parts to which we can limit access

Known as *Privilege Separation*

Segmenting a system into components with the least privilege needed can prevent an attacker from taking over the entire system.
Security Subjects

Least privilege and privilege separation apply to more than just users!

- UNIX: A **User** should only be able to read their own files
- UNIX: A **Process** should not be able to read another process’s memory
- Mobile: An **App** should not be able to edit another app’s data
- Web: A **Domain** should only be able to read its own cookies
- Networking: Only trusted a **Host** should be able to access file server

**Least Privilege:** Users **Subjects** should only have access to access the data and resources needed to perform routine, authorized tasks
Security Policies

Subject (Who?): acting system principals (e.g., user, app, process)
Object (What?): protected resources (e.g., memory, files, HW devices)
Operation (How?): how subjects operate on objects (e.g., read, delete)

Example Security Policies:
- UNIX: A User should not be able to delete other users’ files
- UNIX: A Process should not be able to read another process’s memory
- Mobile: An App should only be able to edit its own data
- Web: A Domain should not be able to read another domain’s cookies
UNIX Security Model
UNIX Security Model

Subjects (Who?)

- Users, processes

Objects (What?)

- Files, directories
- Files: sockets, pipes, hardware devices, kernel objects, process data

Access Operations (How?)

- Read, Write, Execute
UNIX Security Model

Subjects (Who?)

- Users, processes
UNIX Security Model

Subjects (Who?)
- Users, processes

Objects (What?)
UNIX Security Model

Subjects (Who?)
- Users, processes

Objects (What?)
- Files, directories
- Files: sockets, pipes, hardware devices, kernel objects, process data
UNIX Security Model

**Subjects (Who?)**
- Users, processes

**Objects (What?)**
- Files, directories
- Files: sockets, pipes, hardware devices, kernel objects, process data
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UNIX Security Model

**Subjects (Who?)**

- Users, processes

**Objects (What?)**

- Files, directories

- Files: sockets, pipes, hardware devices, kernel objects, process data

**Access Operations (How?)**

- Read, Write, Execute
Users

UNIX systems have many accounts
- Service accounts
  - Used to run background processes (e.g., web server)
- User accounts
  - Typically tied to a specific human

Every user has a unique integer ID — User ID — UID

UID 0 is reserved for special user root that has access to everything
- Many system operations can only run as root
Example Users

You can view the users on your system by looking at `/etc/passwd:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Username</th>
<th>User ID</th>
<th>Group ID</th>
<th>Home Directory</th>
<th>Shell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>root</td>
<td>x:0:0</td>
<td>root</td>
<td>/root</td>
<td>/bin/bash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>backup</td>
<td>x:34:34</td>
<td>backup</td>
<td>/var/backups</td>
<td>/usr/sbin/nologin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>systemd-resolve</td>
<td>x:101:103</td>
<td></td>
<td>/run/systemd/resolve</td>
<td>/usr/sbin/nologin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zakir</td>
<td>x:1001:1001</td>
<td></td>
<td>/home/zakir</td>
<td>/bin/bash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dabo</td>
<td>x:1009:1009</td>
<td></td>
<td>/home/dabo</td>
<td>/usr/sbin/nologin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UNIX has also groups — collections of users who can share files and other system resources.

Every group has a group ID (GID) and name.

```
zakir@scratch-03:~$ id
uid=1001(zakir) gid=1001(zakir) groups=1001(zakir),27(sudo),2000(esrg)
zakir@scratch-03:~$ cat /etc/group
root:x:0:
daemon:x:1:
bin:x:2:
sys:x:3:
adm:x:4:syslog
tty:x:5:
```
File Ownership

All Linux resources — sockets, devices, files — are managed as files.

All files and directories have a single **user owner** and **group owner**.

```
zakir@scratch-01:~$ ls -l
total 8
-d rwx rwx ---  5 zakir cs155-tas 4096 Apr  2 15:56 homework
-d rwx rwx ---  5 zakir cs155-instr 4096 Apr  2 15:56 grades
-d rwx rwx r-x 11 zakir cs155-tas 4096 Dec 28 21:09 lectures
- rwx r-x r--  1 zakir dabo        0    Apr 11 04:15 test.py
```
Access Control

Three **subjects** have access to a file: **user owner**, **group owner**, **other**

Subjects can have three **operations**: **read**, **write**, **execute**

**Owner** can change permissions and group. **Root** can change user ownership.

```
zakir@scratch-01:~$ ls -l
  total 8
  d rwx rwx ---  5 zakir cs155-tas 4096 Apr  2 15:56 homework
  d rwx rwx ---  5 zakir cs155-instr 4096 Apr  2 15:56 grades
  d rwx rwx r-x 11 zakir cs155-tas 4096 Dec 28 21:09 lectures
  - rwx r-x r--  1 zakir dabo        0   Apr 11 04:15 test.py
```
Access Control Example 1

zakir@scratch-01:~$ ls -l
total 8
-d rwx rwx ---  5 zakir cs155-tas 4096 Apr  2 15:56 homework
-d rwx rwx ---  5 zakir cs155-instr 4096 Apr  2 15:56 grades
-d rwx r-x 11 zakir cs155-tas 4096 Dec 28 21:09 lectures
-rwx r-x r--  1 zakir dabo       0 Apr 11 04:15 test.py

Q: What Drew (member of cs155-tas) do to homework?
Q: If a student has access to this server, which files can they access?
Access Control Lists (ACLs)

UNIX’s permission model is a simple implementation of a generic access control strategy known as **Access Control Lists (ACLs)**

Every object has an ACL that identifies what operations subjects can perform.

Each access to an object is checked against the object’s ACL.
Role Based Access Control (RBAC)

Access control matrices can grow complex as number of subjects, objects, and possible operations grow.

**Observation:** Users change more often than roles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>hw/</th>
<th>exams/</th>
<th>grades/</th>
<th>lectures/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>cs155-instr</strong></td>
<td>r/w</td>
<td>r/w</td>
<td>r/w</td>
<td>r/w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cs155-tas</strong></td>
<td>r/w</td>
<td>read</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>r/w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cs155-students</strong></td>
<td>read</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cs-students</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>read</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UNIX Processes
Processes

Processes are isolated

- Processes cannot access each other’s memory

Processes run as a specific user

- When you run a process, it runs with your UID’s permissions
- Process can access any files that the UID has access to
  - Processes run by the same UID have the same permissions

Processes started by root can reduce their privileges by changing their UID to a less privileged UID
Process Example

When you run a command, it runs with all of your privileges because your shell runs as your user account and forks to start the command.

When any process forks, it inherits its parents UID.

```
zakir@scratch-01:~$ ls -l
 total 8
 d rwx rwx ---  5 zakir cs155-tas  4096 Apr  2 15:56 homework
 d rwx rwx ---  5 zakir cs155-instr 4096 Apr  2 15:56 grades
```
Process User IDs

Every process has three different User IDs:

**Effective User ID (EUID)**
- Determines the permissions for process

**Real User ID (RUID)**
- Determines the user that started the process

**Saved User ID (SUID)**
- EUID prior to change

Typically same value (user who started process)
Changing User IDs

`root` can change EUID/RUID/SUID to arbitrary values

Unprivileged users can change EUID to only RUID or SUID

**setuid(x):**

- Effective User ID (EUID)   => x
- Real User ID (RUID)        => x
- Saved User ID (SUID)       => x
Reducing Privilege through setuid

Apache Web Server must start as root because only root can create a socket that listens on port 80 (a privileged port)

Without any privilege reduction, any Apache bug would result in the attacker having unrestricted server access

Instead, Apache creates children using the following scheme:

```c
if (fork() == 0) {
    int sock = socket(":80");
    setuid(getuid("www-data"));
}
```
Temporarily Changing UID

*Remember:* unprivileged users can change EUID back to the RUID or SUID

```plaintext
setuid(x):
  Effective UID => x
  Real UID      => x
  Saved UID     => x
```

```plaintext
seteuid(x):
  Effective UID => x
  Real UID      (no change)
  Saved UID     (no change)
```

```plaintext
# EUID = RUID = SUID = 0
seteuid(100);
# EUID=100; RUID/SUID=0;
<perform dangerous operation>
setuid(0)
# EUID = RUID = SUID = 0
```
Suppose SSH runs as root and runs the following code:

```c
if (authenticate(uid, pwd) == S_SUCCESE) {
    if (fork() == 0) {
        seteuid(uid);
        exec("/bin/bash");
    }
}
```
SSH Example — Vulnerable

Suppose SSH runs as **root** and runs the following code:

```c
if (authenticate(uid, pwd) == S_SUCCESS) {
    if (fork() == 0) {
        seteuid(uid);
        exec("/bin/bash");
    }
}
```

**Attack**: user can call `setuid(0)` to become root because `SUID == 0`

**EUID := uid, RUID and SUID unchanged**
Suppose SSH runs as `root` and runs the following code:

```c
if (authenticate(uid, pwd) == S_SUCCESS) {
    if (fork() == 0) {
        seteuid(uid);
        setuid(uid);
        exec("/bin/bash");
    }
}
```

EUID := uid, RUID := uid, SUID := uid

User cannot change UID
Main system process starts as root and forks

Output of `pstree -u`

```
systemd ── accounts-daemon ── 2*[accounts-daemon]
    └─ lighttpd(www-data)
    └─ rsyslogd(syslog) ── 3*[rsyslogd]
    └─ screen(zakir) ── bash ── zdns ── 82*[zdns]
        └─ ziterate
        └─ sshd[sshd(zakir)] ── bash ── pstree
            └─ sshd[sshd(dabo)] ── bash
    └─ systemd-resolve(systemd-resolve)
```
SETUID Bit — Elevating Privileges

The `passwd` utility allows you to change your password by updating password `/etc/shadow` — a file that only root can read/write

Normally, this would not be possible. Remember: executables run with the privilege of the executing user — and your account can’t access

UNIX allows you to set EUID of an executable to be the file owner rather than the executing user.
SETUID on passwd

Q: How does **passwd** know which user it should allow the caller change the password for?
setuid vs. setuid 😹

setuid syscall (in code):
Allows caller to change User IDs of the process

setuid(x):
  Effective UID => x
  Real UID     => x
  Saved UID    => x

setuid bit on Executable
Execution runs as owner and group of executable rather than the calling user

zakir@scratch-03:~$ ls -ali /usr
2235 -rwsr-xr-x 1 root root 5964
zakir@scratch-03:~$
Becoming Root User

System configuration files are owned by root

Important system processes run as root

Sometimes, you as a user, need to "become" root to fix problems

- **sudo**: run a single command as root (requires you to be blessed)
- **su**: allows you to become root by knowing its password
- **sudo su**: become root without their password
Worst privilege separation ever?

Traditional UNIX distinguished between privileged processes (EUID == 0) and unprivileged processes (EUID != 0)

Privileged processes bypass all kernel permission checks, while unprivileged processes are subject to full permission checking

Lots of utilities — like ping — depend on setuid

Exceptionally dangerous — a bug in many utilities can lead to compromise
Linux Capabilities

Capabilities segment root powers into components, such that if a program that has one or more capabilities is compromised, damage is limited

**CAP_KILL**
Bypass permission checks for sending signals

**CAP_NET_BIND_SERVICE**
Bind a socket to privileged ports (port < 1024).

**CAP_SYS_PTRACE**
Trace arbitrary processes using ptrace
Overview of UNIX Security Mechanisms

Pros
+ Simple model provides protection for most situations
+ Flexible enough to make most simple systems possible in practice

Cons
- ACLs are coarse grained — doesn’t account for enterprise complexity
- ACLs don’t handle different applications within a single user account
- Nearly all system operations require root access — people are sloppy
Windows Security Model
Flexible ACLs

Windows has complex access control options

Objects have full ACLs — possibility for fine grained permissions

Users can be member of multiple groups, groups can be nested

ACLs support Allow and Deny rules
Object Security Descriptors

Every object has a security descriptor

- Specifies who can perform what and audit rules

Contains

- Security identifiers (SIDs) for the owner and primary group of an object.
- Discretionary ACL (DACL): access rights allowed users or groups.
- System ACL (SACL): types of attempts that generate audit records
Tokens

Every process has a set of tokens — its “security context”

- ID of user account
- ID of groups
- ID of login session
- List of OS privileges held by user/groups
- List of restrictions

Impersonation token can be used temporarily to adopt a different context
When a process wants to access an object, it presents its set of security tokens (security context).

Windows checks whether the security context has access to the object based on the object’s security descriptor.
Capabilities vs. ACLs

**Capabilities:** subject presents an unforgeable ticket that grants access to an object. System doesn’t care who subject is, just that they have access.

**ACL:** system checks where subject is on list of users with access to the object.
Weak Protection on Desktops

Relying on user permission provides user with little protection against malicious applications.

Malicious application running as you has access to all of your files.

Adobe Acrobat can edit, delete, and encrypt/ransom all of your data.
Mac OS App Sandbox

Mac OS now sandboxes many applications and mediates access to:

- Hardware (Camera, Microphone, USB, Printer)
- Network Connections (Inbound or Outbound)
- App Data (Calendar, Location, Contacts)
- User Files (Downloads, Pictures, Music, Movies, User Selected Files)

Access to any resource not explicitly requested in the project definition is rejected by the system at run time.
Android Process Isolation

Android uses Linux and its own kernel application sandbox for isolation.

Each application runs with its own UID in its own VM:

- Apps cannot interact with one another
- Limit access to system resources (decided at installation time)

Reference monitor checks permissions on intercomponent communication.
Chrome Security Architecture
Modern Chrome Architecture

Pre 2006

Modern
Chrome Processes

**Browser Process**
Controls "chrome" part of the application like address bar and bookmarks. Also handles the invisible, privileged parts of a web browser like network requests.

**Renderer Process**
Controls anything inside of the tab where a website is displayed.

**Plugin Process**
Controls any plugins used by the website, for example, flash.

**GPU Process**
Handles GPU tasks in isolation from other processes. It is separated into different process because GPUs handles requests from multiple apps and draw them in the same surface.
Process-Based Site Isolation
Chrome Architecture

**Broker (Main Browser)**
Privileged controller/supervisor of the activities of the sandboxed processes

Renderer's only access to the network is via its parent browser process and file system access can be restricted
Restricted Security Context

Chrome calls `CreateRestrictedToken` to create a token that has a subset of the user’s privileges.

Assigns the token the user and group `S-1-0-0 Nobody`. Removes access to nearly every system resource.

As long as the disk root directories have non-null security, no files (even with null ACLs) can be accessed.

No network access (on Vista and later)
Windows Job Object

Renderer runs as a “Job” object rather than an interactive process.

Eliminates access to:

- desktop and display settings
- clipboard
- creating subprocesses
- access to global atoms table
Alternate Windows Desktop

Windows on the same desktop are effectively in the same security context because the sending and receiving of window messages is not subject to any security checks.

Sending messages across desktops is not allowed.

Chrome creates an additional desktop for target processes

Isolates the sandboxed processes from snooping in the user's interactions
Windows Integrity Levels

Windows Vista introduced concept of integrity levels to ease development:
- untrusted, low, medium, high, system

Most processes run at medium level.

Low-integrity level has limited scope, e.g., can read but cannot write files.
Principles of Secure Systems
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✓ Privilege separation

Open design

Keep it simple
Open Design

“The security of a mechanism should not depend on the secrecy of its design or implementation.”

If the details of the mechanism leaks (through reverse engineering, dumpster diving or social engineering), then it is a catastrophic failure for all the users at once.

If the secrets are abstracted from the mechanism, e.g., inside a key, then leakage of a key only affects one user.
Kerckhoff’s Principle

“a crypto system should be secure even if everything about the system, except the key, is public knowledge.”

- Auguste Kerckhoff
Principles of Secure Systems
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Keep it simple
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