Advanced Quantum Field Theory - Fall 1402: PSet 4<br/>Subject: Effective Action and Its Relation to W[J]TA: Hossein Mohammadi<br/>W[J]Instructor: Dr. Amin FarajiDue Date: dd/mm/yyyy

## **Problem 1: Effective Action Rudiments**

The idea of effective action is to replace the full quantum theory with a classical action  $\Gamma[\phi]$ , which carries the same data about the amplitudes essentially. Since quantum theories deviate from classical counterparts at loops, the classical theory  $\Gamma[\phi]$  should encode all loops in its tree-level

For example, QED's effective action is:

$$\Gamma_{QED} = \int d^4x \, \left[ \bar{\psi} \big( i\partial \!\!\!/ - m + \Sigma(i\partial \!\!\!/) \big) \psi - e \bar{\psi} \Gamma^\mu(i\partial \!\!\!/ ) A_\mu \psi - \frac{1}{2} A_\mu \big( \partial^\mu \partial^\nu - \Box \eta^{\mu\nu} \big) \big( 1 - \Pi(-\Box) \big) A_\nu + \dots \right]$$

Where  $\Sigma(p)$ ,  $\Gamma^{\mu}(p)$ , and  $\Pi(p^2)$  are 1PI graphs contributing to electron self-energy, vertex correction, and vacuum polarization respectively. Don't worry about this Lagrangian and vague expressions; you'll see them later.

(a) [- points] **1PI Diagrams:** 

Draw the first few 1PI diagrams contributing to  $\Sigma(p)$ ,  $\Gamma^{\mu}(p)$ , and  $\Pi(p^2)$ . More specifically, complete the diagrams below by adding suitable components. Also, mention the order in perturbation theory.



Figure 1: 1PI contributions to QED Lagrangian.

Advanced Quantum Field Theory PSet 4

## (b) [- points] W[J] and its interpretation

As you know,  $\Gamma[\phi]$  is the Legendre transformation of the generating functional of connected diagrams, W[J]. In this part of the problem, we want to establish their equivalence.

(i) To begin with, let's check if W[J] generates connected diagrams.

$$(-i\hbar)^n \frac{\partial^n W[J]}{\partial J(x_1) \dots \partial J(x_n)} = -i\hbar \langle J | T\phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_m) | J \rangle_{Connected}$$

For n = 2, 3, show that it, indeed, generates connected Feynman diagrams. (Just plug back  $W[J] = -i\hbar \ln(Z[J])$  into the above relation and take the derivatives.) For general n, argue that the expression gives the connected n-point functions.

## (c) [- points] The relation between $\Gamma[\phi]$ and W[J]

The ultimate result is that

$$\Gamma[\phi] = W[J_{\phi}] - \int d^4x \ J_{\phi}(x)\phi(x)$$

Where  $J_{\phi}(x)$  is an implicit functional,  $\frac{\partial W[J]}{\partial J(x)}\Big|_{J=J_{\phi}} = \phi(x).$ 

Compute  $\frac{\partial \Gamma[\phi]}{\partial \phi(x)}$  by chain rule and define the inverse transformation.

## (d) [- points, Optional, just read it.] How is this possible?

You may ask why effective action has such a simple relation with W[J]? Here's a simple proof.

As you know, all connected diagrams can be found either by W[J] or by classical action, which is  $\Gamma[\phi]$ . In the path integral, classical stuff means take  $\hbar \to 0$  limit.

$$W[J] \equiv \lim_{\hbar \to 0} (-i\hbar) \ln \left( \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \Gamma[\phi] + \int d^4x J(x)\phi(x) \right) \right\} \right)$$

Taking this limit means that only classical field (that exteremizes the exponential) will survive. The exteremum occurs at

$$\phi_J = \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \phi} \Big|_{\phi = \phi_J} = -J$$

By substituting back into the above relation:

$$W[J] = \Gamma[\phi_J] + \int d^4x J(x)\phi_J(x)$$

Seems familiar.

- (e) [- points] Check the free theory
  - Let's check this formal procedure on  $\mathscr{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\phi(\Box + m^2)\phi$ 
    - (i) Calculate W[J].

Advanced Quantum Field Theory PSet 4

- (ii) Find  $J_{\phi}$ .
- (iii) Find  $\Gamma[\phi]$ . Express the moral lesson you've got from this exercise. (Hint: What's the relation between quantum action and effective action of a free theory?)
- (iv) Show that  $\Gamma[\phi]$  is minimized by  $\langle \phi \rangle$ , which is the expectation value of the field operator on the vacuum  $|0\rangle$ .

**Aside:** As I told earlier, I prefer not to explore advanced stuff like Yang-Mills and their quantization. Although it's the true playground for both the Faddeev-Popov procedure and BRST symmetry, it's better not to open up a new topic<sup>1</sup>. Soon, when we start renormalization, you'll be bombarded with different exercises and calculations. So it's fair to have a rest for now.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>One can utilize these to quantize string theory. We can talk about it if you would like to.