Machine learning theory Theory of clustering

Hamid Beigy

Sharif university of technology

June 20, 2020



Table of contents




- 1. Introduction
- 2. Distance based clustering
- 3. Summary

Introduction



- ▶ Clustering is the process of grouping a set of data objects into multiple groups or clusters so that objects within a cluster have high similarity, but are very dissimilar to objects in other clusters.
- Dissimilarities and similarities are assessed based on the feature values describing the objects and often involve distance measures.
- Clustering is usually an unsupervised learning problem.
- ▶ Consider a dataset $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m\}, \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- ▶ Assume there are K clusters C_1, \ldots, C_K .
- ▶ The goal is to group the examples into *K* homogeneous partitions.



Picture courtesy: "Data Clustering: 50 Years Beyond K-Means", A.K. Jain (2008)




- ▶ A good clustering is one that achieves:
 - ► High within-cluster similarity
 - ► Low inter-cluster similarity
- ► Applications of clustering
 - ► Document/Image/Webpage Clustering
 - ► Image Segmentation
 - Clustering web-search results
 - ► Clustering (people) nodes in (social) networks/graphs
 - Pre-processing phase




- ▶ The clustering methods can be compared using the following aspects:
 - ► The partitioning criteria: In some methods, all the objects are partitioned so that no hierarchy exists among the clusters.
 - Separation of clusters: In some methods, data partitioned into mutually exclusive clusters while in some other methods, the clusters may not be exclusive, that is, a data object may belong to more than one cluster.
 - Similarity measure: Some methods determine the similarity between two objects by the distance between them; while in other methods, the similarity may be defined by connectivity based on density or contiguity.
 - ▶ Clustering space: Many clustering methods search for clusters within the entire data space. These methods are useful for low-dimensionality data sets. With high-dimensional data, however, there can be many irrelevant attributes, which can make similarity measurements unreliable. Consequently, clusters found in the full space are often meaningless. It's often better to instead search for clusters within different subspaces of the same data set.



Flat or Partitional clustering (Partitions are independent of each other)



Hierarchical clustering (Partitions can be visualized using a tree structure (a dendrogram))




Possible to view partitions at different levels of granularities (i.e., can refine/coarsen clusters) using different K.



▶ Why is it hard to define what is clustering?

.....

Similar objects in same group



Dissimilar objects are separated



Lack of ground truth: Cluster these points into two clusters.



▶ We have two well justifiable solutions.










▶ It is difficult to determine the number of clusters in a dataset [8].

Are these data better described by 2 or 4 clusters?




▶ It is difficult to cluster outliers.








It is difficult to cluster non-spherical, overlapping data [8].



Distance based clustering



- ▶ Let $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m\}$ be the dataset.
- ▶ Let $d: X \times X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be the distance function.
 - 1. d(x, y) > 0 for all $x, y \in X$.
 - 2. d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y.
 - 3. d(x, y) = d(y, x).
- ▶ A clustering *C* is a partition of X.



Definition (Clustering function)

A clustering function is a function f which given a data set X and a distance function d on X it returns a partition C of X.

$$f: (\mathbf{X}, d) \mapsto \mathcal{C}$$

Definition (Clustering quality function)

A clustering quality function is any function Q which given a data set X, a partitioning C of X and a distance function d it returns a real number.

$$Q: (\mathbf{X}, d, \mathcal{C}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$$




- ▶ There is no unique definition of clustering.
- Can we formalize our intuition of good objective functions?
- ▶ Are existing objective functions good?
- ► Can we design better objective functions?
- Instead of designing clustering algorithm, we can one list a set of conditions/principles which any reasonable clustering algorithm should satisfy?
 - 1. Doing so provides a gold standard, and would help design a high-quality clustering algorithm.
 - 2. Since these conditions must apply to every clustering task, these need to be simple, intuitive and fundamental.



▶ If d is a distance function, we write $\alpha \times d$ to denote the distance function in which the distance between i and j is $\alpha \times d(i,j)$.

Definition (Scale invariance)

For any distance function d and any $\alpha > 0$, we have $f(d) = f(\alpha \times d)$.




This means that an ideal clustering function does not change its result when the data are scaled equally in all directions.



Definition (Consistency)

Let d and d' be two distance functions. The clustering function produces a partition of points for the first distance function, d. If, for every pair (i,j) belonging to the same cluster, $d(i,j) \geq d'(i,j)$, and for every pair belonging to different clusters, $d(i,j) \leq d'(i,j)$, then the clustering result shouldn't change: f(d) = f(d').




This means that if we stretch the data so that the distances between clusters increases and/or the distances within clusters decreases, then the clustering shouldn't change.



Definition (Richness)

Let the size of the dataset be m and $\mathsf{Range}(f)$ is equal to the set of all partitions of \mathbf{X} . For a clustering function, f, richness implies that $\mathsf{Range}(f)$ is equal to all possible partitions of a set of length m.




This means that an ideal clustering function would be flexible enough to produce all possible partition/clusterings of this set. This means that it automatically determines both the number and clusters in the dataset.



Theorem (Kleinberg's impossibility theorem)

For each $m \ge 2$, there is no clustering function f that satisfies Scale-Invariance, Richness, and Consistency.





- ▶ Kleinberg's results was focusing on clustering functions.
- Ackerman and Ben-David studied that the clustering quality measures as the object to be axiomatized [3].

Definition (Quality functions)

The clustering quality function $Q: \mathbf{X} \times \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ maps a distance function and a clustering into a non-negative real number, $(d, c) \mapsto r$.

Definition (Scale invariance)

Q is scale invariant if for every clustering \mathcal{C} of (\mathbf{X},d) and every $\alpha > 0$, $Q(\mathbf{X},d,\mathcal{C}) = Q(\mathbf{X},\alpha d,\mathcal{C})$.

Definition (Richness)

Q is rich if for any \mathcal{C}^* of \mathbf{X} , there exists some d over \mathbf{X} such that $\mathcal{C}^* = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\mathcal{C}} Q(\mathbf{X}, d, \mathcal{C})$.

Definition (Consistency)

Q is consistent if for any \mathcal{C} of \mathbf{X} , if $d_{\mathcal{C}}$ corresponds to d where intra (extra) cluster distances are decreased (increased) then $Q(\mathbf{X}, d, \mathcal{C}) = Q(\mathbf{X}, d_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{C})$.

Consistency of new axioms



Theorem (Consistency of new axioms)

Consistency, scale invariance, and richness for clustering quality measures form a consistent set of requirements.

Summary



- ▶ Kleinberg's work on axioms for clustering function is framed in terms of distance functions.
- ▶ Kleinberg's impossibility result is for clustering functions.
- ▶ Quality functions are more flexible and allow for axiomatization of data clustering [3, 4, 5, 1, 2].
- ▶ Graphs are flexible for clustering and needs to be axiomatized [7].



- Margareta Ackerman. "Towards Theoretical Foundations of Clustering". PhD thesis. University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 2012.
- Margareta Ackerman and Shai Ben-David. "A Characterization of Linkage-Based Hierarchical Clustering". In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 17.231 (2016), pp. 1–17.
- Margareta Ackerman and Shai Ben-David. "Measures of Clustering Quality: A Working Set of Axioms for Clustering". In: Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems. 2008.
- Margareta Ackerman, Shai Ben-David, and David Loker. "Characterization of Linkage-based Clustering". In: *Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on Learning Theory.* 2010, pp. 270–281.
- Margareta Ackerman, Shai Ben-David, and David Loker. "Towards Property-Based Classification of Clustering Paradigms". In: *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems.* 2010, pp. 10–18.
- Jon Kleinberg. "An Impossibility Theorem for Clustering". In: *Proceedings of Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. 2002, pp. 446–453.
- Twan van Laarhoven and Elena Marchiori. "Axioms for Graph Clustering Quality Functions". In: Journal of Machine Learning Research 15.6 (2014), pp. 193–215.
- Alex Williams. What is clustering and why is it hard? URL: http://alexhwilliams.info/itsneuronalblog/2015/09/11/clustering1/.

Questions?